



UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations

Review of Users' Comments received by Working Group for Microbiology Standards in Clinical Virology/Serology

V 43 Investigation of Viral Encephalitis





Recommendations are listed as ACCEPT/ PARTIAL ACCEPT/DEFER/ NONE or PENDING

Issued by the Standards Unit, Microbiology Services, PHE RUC | V 43 | Issue no: 1 | Issue date: 03.02.14 Page: 1 of 3

PROPOSAL FOR CHANGES

Comn	nent Number	1					
Date Received		20/10/2008		Lab Name	Birmingham Heartlands Hospital		
Section			I		I		
Comment							
a.	a. I think there needs to be some more details made with respect to the investigations of rarer pathogens such as parechovirus, HHV6/7, FluA/B, mumps. These investigations are not routinely done in most places either because they are not considered common enough/important, or because of cost issues, or non-availability of these tests in most labs. Unless the text provides with evidence that it is worth the time, effort, expense to look into these rarer pathogens it would be unreasonable to expect that it would get included in routine test panels. A better elaboration of the clinical context would be helpful.						
b. I guess that the MVE and the SLE in travel associated cases imply Murra Encephalitis and St. Louis Encephalitis- this should be clarified in the note							
	A map or a table including the countries/regions with travel related viral infections would be helpful to avoid unnecessary investigations/queries.						
C.	The comment "Virus cannot be ruled out by a negative PCR" is a very important comment although we do write it in a different way: The presence of viral genome at levels below the sensitivity of the assay cannot be excluded. I think the previous one as mentioned in this draft SOP is a better comment.						
d.	Having a clinical of encephalitis would	nical case definition for subacute, post infectious and chronic would be helpful.					
e.	e. Tests for intra-thecal antibody should accompany one with serum antibody.				serum antibody.		
Recommended Action		a.	REJECT				
			Further detail (formerly QS	s are provided in the OP48).	guidance note G 4		
		b. REJECT					
		Extended notes regarding this are all provided in G (formerly QSOP 48).					
		C.	NONE				
		d.	REJECT				
			Further detail (formerly QS	s are provided in the OP48).	guidance note G 4		
		e.	ACCEPT				
			Document an	nended.			

Comment Number	2						
Date Received	16/06/2008	Lab Name	PHE (formerly HPA) Cambridge				
Section							
Comment							
Good table.							
Recommended Action	NONE						

Comment Number	3						
Date Received	27/03/2007	Lab Name Dept Microbiology, Derriford, Plymouth					
Section							
Comment							
Could you supply a reference for the requirement to take a repeat sample after 14 days treatment with acyclovir?							
Recommended	NONE						
Action	Reference supplied.						