

The Paris System for Reporting Urinary Cytopathology

Dr Ashish Chandra MD FRCPath DipRCPath (Cyto) Guy's & St. Thomas' NHSfT London, UK



The Paris System (TPS) for reporting urinary cytopathology

- Joint initiative of the American Society of Cytopathology (ASC) and the International Academy of Cytology (IAC)
- Led by Dr Dorothy Rosenthal (Johns Hopkins, Baltimore) and Dr Eva Wojcik (Loyola, Chicago)
- Further developed by members of the ASC and IAC at the International Congress of Cytology meeting held in Paris in May 2013
- Echoes Bethesda terminology for reporting cervical and thyroid cytology



### **TPS: Categories**

- I. Non-diagnostic or Unsatisfactory
- II. Negative for High Grade Urothelial Carcinoma
- III. Atypia
- IV. Suspicious for High Grade Urothelial Carcinoma
- V. Low Grade Urothelial Neoplasia (LGUN)
- VI. High Grade Urothelial Carcinoma (HGUC)
- VII. Other malignancies, primary and metastatic



# Histopathological terminology of urothelial neoplasia

- WHO 1973: Grades 1, 2 and 3
- ISUP/WHO 2004: Papillary Urothelial Neoplasm of Low Malignant Potential (PUNLMP)

Low grade urothelial carcinoma (LGUC)

High grade urothelial carcinoma (HGUC)

- Use of the term carcinoma for low grade tumours (PUNLMP & LGUC) needs revision
- The Paris system of reporting urinary cytology is leading the way in guiding histopathological terminology of urothelial neoplasia



I. Non-diagnostic or Unsatisfactory

- Cellularity and cell content varies widely
- Unsatisfactory or unsuitable when sample quality is compromised due to degenerative changes due to overgrowth of contaminant microbes or cells obscured by blood, exudate or other artefacts
- 20 cells/10 hpf in bladder washings (LBC). JASC 2015,4;57-62

Evidence-based Adequacy Criteria for Bladder Cytology

Jennifer Prather, MD, Brent Arville, DO, Grazina Chatt, CT(ASCP), Stefan E. Pambuccian, MD, Eva M. Wojcik, MD, Güliz A. Barkan, MD Loyola University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois



# **II. Negative for HGUC**

- Implies absence of atypical, suspicious or malignant cells in an adequate sample
- Features attributable to inflammation may be referred as 'reactive changes' but reported as negative for HGUC. The word 'atypia' should not be used in this setting
- Treatment effect and BK virus effect may be reported as Negative for HGUC



## Negative for high grade urothelial carcinoma





# Reactive Urothelial Cells (Negative for HGUC)



- Uniform size
- Fine chromatin
- Round nuclei
- Smooth borders
- Small nucleoli





- Atypia should be reminiscent of HGUC but in very small numbers
- Does not include papillary clusters suggestive of LGUN



# **Criteria for Atypia**

- Non-superficial and non-degenerated urothelial cells with a high N/C ratio > 0.5 (required) and one of the following:
- Hyperchromasia (compared to the umbrella cells or the intermediate squamous cell nucleus)
- Irregular clumped chromatin
- Irregular nuclear membranes



# Atypia





## Atypia





## Atypia



### Atypical cytology and ancillary testing: UroVysion FISH

#### Mix of 4 probes labelled with fluorochromes





Courtesy: Dr Michael Neat, Chief Cytogeneticist, Viapath, London

# Analysis and criteria for classification of UroVysion FISH results

#### Initially select morphologically abnormal cells

- Large nuclear size/irregular shape
- Patchy DAPI stain
- Cell clusters (non-overlapping)
- If no morphologically abnormal cells present, scan all cells

#### Minimum analysis of 25 cells

#### ■ FISH positive if:

- ≥4 cells showing gain of at least 2 of #3, #7 & #17
- ≥12 cells showing homozygous deletion of p16 i.e. no p16 signals

### Potential issues with analysis/interpretation of the assay

#### False positives

- BK polyoma virus (rare)
- Benign/reactive cells
  - Tapia *et al* Cancer Cytopathol. 2011 25;119(6):404-10
    - 27/77 (35.1%) benign with reactive changes were FISH+
- Tetraploidy
  - ? less specific predictor of malignancy
  - dividing cells, polyploidy in normal cells
    - ? >10 cells to define FISH+ result

Halling KC, Kipp BR. Adv Anat Pathol. 2008;15:279-286 Bubendorf et al Am J Clin Pathol. 2001;116:79-86 Savic et al Int J Cancer. 2009;124:2899-2904 False negatives
low-grade neoplasms
non-exfoliating - representative cells not shed into the urine sample
Lack of atypical cells on the slide used for FISH

Highlights importance of correlation with cytomorphology and clinical context

# Potential of UroVysion FISH

Useful adjunctive test, improves sensitivity of urine cytology

- Does earlier detection translate into decreased mortality?
- Is negative predictive value sufficient to decrease the need for or frequency of cytoscopic follow-up?
- Is there a cost benefit can/does incorporation of FISH results reduce no. of biopsies performed?



# **IV. Suspicious for HGUC**

- Non-superficial and non-degenerated urothelial cells with a high N/C ratio > 0.7 (required)
- Hyperchromasia (compared to the umbrella cells or the intermediate squamous cell nucleus) (required)

and one of the following:

- Irregular clumpy chromatin
- Irregular nuclear membranes



## **Suspicious for HGUC**





## Suspicious for HGUC





# V. Low grade urothelial neoplasm (LGUN)

- LGUN combined cytologic term for low grade papillary urothelial neoplasms (LGPUN) (which include urothelial papilloma, PUNLMP and LGPUC) and flat, low grade intraurothelial neoplasia
- Three-dimensional cellular papillary clusters (defined as clusters of cells with nuclear overlapping, forming "papillae") with fibrovascular cores with capillaries (esp if cell block is examined)
- Diagnosis of LGUN may be made in correlation with cystoscopic or biopsy findings









# VI. High grade urothelial carcinoma (HGUC)

"The number of atypical urothelial cells is an important criterion to classify urine cytology specimens into the 'positive' or the 'suspicious'/AUC-H categories. A cut off number of >10 cells to render a definitive diagnosis of HGUC seems valid from the clinical standpoint ."

Urine Cytology: Does the Number of Atypical Urothelial Cells Matter for distinguishing the "high-grade urothelial carcinoma" from the "suspicious for HGUC" cytological categories? (Brimo et al. USCAP 2015)



## HGUC





# The Paris System: criteria for HGUC, Suspicious & Atypia\*

Category Criteria	HGUC	Suspicious for HGUC	Atypia
No. of atypical cells	>10	<10	<10
N:C ratio	>0.7	>0.7	0.5-0.7
Hyperchromasia	+	+	
Clumped chromatin / Irregular nuclear borders	Either one of the two criteria	Either one of the two criteria	Any one of the three criteria

#### \*In conjunction with explanatory notes for each category



### TPS categories: Risk of malignancy & clinical management

- Unsatisfactory/Non-diagnostic (?<5%) Repeat cytology, cystoscopy in 3 months if high clinical suspicion
- Negative for Malignancy (0-2%) Clinical follow up as needed
- Atypical Urothelial Cells (8-35%). Clinical follow up as needed. Use of ancillary testing
- Suspicious for HGUC (50-90%). More aggressive follow up, cystoscopy, biopsy
- Low Grade Urothelial Neoplasm LGUN. (~10%). Need biopsy to further evaluate grade and stage
- High Grade UC (>90%). More aggressive follow up, cystoscopy, biopsy, staging
- Other malignancy (>90%). More aggressive follow up, cystoscopy, biopsy, staging



# **Further work**

- The Paris system aims to standardize reporting of urinary tract cytology
- Published range of atypia 1.9% to 23.2% (suggested limit atypical and suspicious categories to <10%)</li>
- Outcome data, reporting rates of categories, Atypia:HGUC ratio etc.
- Potential use of UroVysion FISH in Atypia cases



### References

- Reynolds JP, Voss JS, Kipp BR, Karnes RJ, Nassar A, Clayton AC, Henry MR, Sebo TJ, Zhang J, Halling KC. Comparison of urine cytology and fluorescence in situ hybridization in upper urothelial tract samples. Cancer Cytopathol. 2014 Jun;122(6):459-67
- Dimashkieh H, Wolff DJ, Smith TM, Houser PM, Nietert PJ, Yang J. Evaluation of urovysion and cytology for bladder cancer detection: a study of 1835 paired urine samples with clinical and histologic correlation. Cancer Cytopathol. 2013 Oct;121(10):591-7.
- Rosenthal DL, Vandenbussche CJ, Burroughs FH, Sathiyamoorthy S, Guan H, Owens C. The Johns Hopkins Hospital template for urologic cytology samples: part I-creating the template. Cancer Cytopathol. 2013 Jan;121(1):15-20.



## References

- VandenBussche CJ, Sathiyamoorthy S, Owens CL, Burroughs FH, Rosenthal DL, Guan H. The Johns Hopkins Hospital template for urologic cytology samples: parts II and III: improving the predictability of indeterminate results in urinary cytologic samples: an outcomes and cytomorphologic study. Cancer Cytopathol. 2013 Jan;121(1):21-8.
- Owens CL, Vandenbussche CJ, Burroughs FH, Rosenthal DL. A review of reporting systems and terminology for urine cytology. Cancer Cytopathol. 2013 Jan;121(1):9-14.