The Royal College of Pathologists
Pathology: the science behind the cure

Standards and datasets for reporting cancers

Dataset for histopathological reporting of peripheral neuroblastic tumours

May 2019

Authors: Dr Catherine Cullinane, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust
Dr Rajeev Shukla, Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust
Dr Jens Stahlschmidt, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust

Unique document number	G104
Document name	Dataset for histopathological reporting of peripheral neuroblastic tumours
Version number	2
Produced by	Dr Catherine Cullinane, Dr Rajeev Shukla and Dr Jens Stahlschmidt are consultant histopathologists specialising in paediatric pathology with experience in the handling and diagnosis of peripheral neuroblastic tumours. Dr Catherine Cullinane is a member of the Children's Cancer and Leukaemia Group's Neuroblastoma Special Interest Group and the International Neuroblastoma Pathology Committee.
Date active	May 2019 **(to be implemented within 3 months)**
Date for full review	May 2022
Comments	This document replaces the 1st edition of *Dataset for peripheral neuroblastic tumours histopathology reports* published in 2010. In accordance with the College's pre-publications policy, this document was on the Royal College of Pathologists' website for consultation from 10 January to 7 February 2019. Responses and authors' comments are available to view on request. **Dr Brian Rous** **Clinical Lead for Guideline Review (Cellular Pathology)**

The Royal College of Pathologists
6 Alie Street, London E1 8QT
Tel: 020 7451 6700
Fax: 020 7451 6701
Web: www.rcpath.org

Registered charity in England and Wales, no. 261035
© 2019, The Royal College of Pathologists

This work is copyrighted. You may download, display, print and reproduce this document for your personal, non-commercial use. All other rights reserved. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Royal College of Pathologists at the above address. First published: 2019.

Contents

NICE has accredited the process used by the Royal College of Pathologists to produce its cancer datasets. Accreditation is valid for five years from 25 July 2017. More information on accreditation can be viewed at www.nice.org.uk/accreditation.

For full details on our accreditation visit: www.nice.org.uk/accreditation.

Foreword

The cancer datasets published by the Royal College of Pathologists (RCPath) are a combination of textual guidance, educational information and reporting proformas. The datasets enable pathologists to grade and stage cancers in an accurate, consistent manner in compliance with international standards and provide prognostic information, thereby allowing clinicians to provide a high standard of care for patients and appropriate management for specific clinical circumstances. This guideline has been developed to cover most common circumstances. However, we recognise that guidelines cannot anticipate every pathological specimen type and clinical scenario. Occasional variation from the practice recommended in this guideline may therefore be required to report a specimen in a way that maximises benefit to the patient.

Each dataset contains core data items (see appendices G–H) that are mandated for inclusion in the Cancer Outcomes and Services Dataset (COSD – previously the National Cancer Data Set) in England. Core data items are items that are supported by robust published evidence and are required for cancer staging, optimal patient management and prognosis. Core data items meet the requirements of professional standards (as defined by the Information Standards Board for Health and Social Care [ISB]) and it is recommended that at least 95% of reports on cancer resections should record a full set of core data items. Other non-core data items are described. These may be included to provide a comprehensive report or to meet local clinical or research requirements. All data items should be clearly defined to allow the unambiguous recording of data.

The following stakeholder groups were contacted to consult on this document and their approval given:

- Children's Cancer and Leukaemia Group's (CCLG) Neuroblastoma Special Interest Group
- National Cancer Research Institute Children's Cancer & Leukaemia Clinical Studies Group's (NCRI CCL CSG) Neuroblastoma Subgroup
- National Cancer Registration and Analysis Service/National Cancer Intelligence Network's (NCRAS/NCIN) Children, Teenagers and Young Adults Site Specific Clinical Reference Group (CTYA SSCRG)
- Newcastle National Reference Centre (Northern Genetics Service Cytogenetics Laboratory).

This dataset has been devised to include the information required for a careful assessment and adequate reporting of peripheral neuroblastic tumours. Evidence for the dataset was obtained from a review of relevant literature using PubMed. Selection of the information included is based on the authors' own experience and discussion with colleagues. Recommendations of the Neuroblastoma Special Interest Group of the CCLG for patients with high-risk neuroblastoma and low/intermediate-risk neuroblastoma are included.

The core data items have published evidence that indicates their value in optimal patient management and prognosis. Other non-core data items that fall outside the core definition are also described. These are included to provide a comprehensive report to meet local clinical, research and tumour registry requirements. The evidence included in this guideline has been graded using modified SIGN guidance (see Appendix I). The sections of this dataset that indicate compliance with each of the AGREE II standards are indicated in Appendix J.

No major organisational changes or cost implications have been identified that would hinder the implementation of the dataset.

A formal revision cycle for all cancer datasets takes place on a three-yearly basis. However, each year, the College will ask the author of the dataset, in conjunction with the relevant subspecialty adviser to the College, to consider whether or not the dataset needs to be updated or revised. A full consultation process will be undertaken if major revisions are required, i.e. revisions to core

data items (the only exception being changes to international tumour grading and staging schemes that have been approved by the Specialty Advisory Committee on Cellular Pathology and affiliated professional bodies; these changes will be implemented without further consultation). If minor revisions or changes to non-core data items are required, an abridged consultation process will be undertaken whereby a short note of the proposed changes will be placed on the College website for two weeks for members' attention. If members do not object to the changes, the changes will be incorporated into the dataset and the full revised version (incorporating the changes) will replace the existing version on the College website.

The dataset has been reviewed by the Clinical Effectiveness department, Working Group on Cancer Services and Lay Governance Group and was placed on the College website for consultation with the membership from 10 January to 7 February 2019. All comments received from the Working Group and membership were addressed by the authors to the satisfaction of the Chair of the Working Group and the Clinical Lead for Guideline Review (Cellular Pathology).

This dataset was developed without external funding to the writing group. The College requires the authors of datasets to provide a list of potential conflicts of interest; these are monitored by the Clinical Effectiveness department and are available on request. The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

1 Introduction

The management of peripheral neuroblastic tumours (PNTs) is the responsibility of the appropriately experienced paediatric oncology multidisciplinary team (MDT). These tumours are rare and predominantly identified in the paediatric age group. Approximately 100 new cases of neuroblastoma are diagnosed in the UK and Ireland every year. The reporting pathologist should have access to a paediatric pathologist or paediatric pathology MDT. The pathologists reporting these cases should ideally be paediatric histopathologists.[1] Most neuroblastomas can be diagnosed by the presence of raised urinary catecholamine metabolites vanillylmandelic acid (VMA) and homovanillic acid (HMA) and, less frequently, elevated levels of dopamine.[2,3] However, it is mandatory that all suspected neuroblastomas (except in the case of neonatal adrenal masses) are biopsied (if safe for the patient) as the molecular genetic profile and histopathological features contribute to risk stratification that influences treatment. It is particularly important in low/intermediate-risk tumours, as tumour biology is becoming increasingly important to stratify patients into relevant treatment groups.

A neuroblastoma is the most common extracranial solid malignant tumour in childhood.[4,5] It is a member of a family of tumours, PNTs, which arise in the sympathetic nervous system and are neural crest derived. PNTs encompass a spectrum of tumours ranging from malignant neuroblastomas at one end to completely benign ganglioneuromas at the other. Neuroblastomas are heterogeneous and exhibit a variable clinical course ranging from spontaneous regression, differentiation to benign tumour or progression to aggressive disease, which is often fatal despite intensive multimodality therapy. Most infants have a good prognosis with complete regression with minimal treatment even in the presence of metastases, whereas older children frequently have metastases and more aggressive disease. It usually presents in children less than two years old and in 90% of cases by five years of age.[2,3]

The International Neuroblastoma Risk Group (INRG) classification system was developed to stratify patients into pre-treatment risk groups on the basis of prognostic risk factors and currently includes: patient age, tumour stage, histopathological category, grade of tumour differentiation, presence or absence of *MYCN* amplification, segmental chromosomal abnormalities (SCA) or numerical chromosomal abnormalities (NCA), and DNA ploidy.[6] However, the assignment of patients to risk groups varies according to treatment protocol. Appendix A is based on the Children's Cancer and Leukaemia Group (CCLG)'s low/intermediate-risk treatment guidelines (www.cclg.org.uk).

1.1 Target users and health benefits of this guideline

The target users of the dataset are trainee and consultant paediatric pathologists, as well as surgeons, oncologists, cancer registries and the National Cancer Registration and Analysis Service (NCRAS). The collection of standardised cancer-specific data facilitates reporting of required pathological features and thus provides important prognostic information aiding the appropriate clinical management of patients. It also supports epidemiology and research and provides accurate data for healthcare planning.

1.2 Role of the pathologist

The role of the pathologist includes:

- diagnosis
- identification of histological prognostic features
- selection of tissue for molecular genetic studies
- selection of tissue for research and tumour banking
- support of local, national and international collaborative research
- promotion of standardisation of terminology and classification.

PNTs are classified according to the International Neuroblastoma Pathology Classification (INPC; see Appendix B). The classification was established in 1999 and revised in 2003.[7,8] It is a prognostic classification based on morphological features and age. It defines four categories of tumour and two distinct prognostic groups ('favourable histology' [FH] and 'unfavourable histology' [UH]) on the basis of grade of neuroblastic differentiation, Schwannian stromal development and mitosis-karyorrhexis index (MKI).[9–11] The prognostic groups' FH and UH are currently not used for patient management in the UK. For risk stratification, patient's age and tumour histology are independent entities.

The four categories of tumour are:

- neuroblastoma (Schwannian stroma poor)
- ganglioneuroblastoma intermixed (Schwannian stroma rich)
- ganglioneuroblastoma nodular (composite, Schwannian stroma rich/stroma dominant and stroma poor)
- ganglioneuroma (Schwannian stroma dominant, maturing or mature).

The INRG staging system uses image-defined risk factors (IDRFs) and is not dependent on extent of surgery as in the previous International Neuroblastoma Staging System.[12] The INRG staging system is a clinical staging system and is outlined in Appendix C. TNM staging is not applicable for PNTs.

2 Clinical information required on the request form

This includes:

- presentation, signs and symptoms
- age of patient
- site and laterality of biopsy or excision
- site of lymph nodes

- urinary catecholamine result if pre-treatment biopsy
- previous treatment.

3 Pre-treatment tumour biopsy and excision

Most PNTs encountered in children are neuroblastomas and most patients have disseminated or unresectable disease at presentation. Biopsies are therefore more common than primary excisions. The majority are needle core biopsies. Sometimes metastases are biopsied, e.g. a skin nodule that may provide more diagnostic tissue. Open surgical biopsies are uncommon. The information obtained from small biopsies may be limited by a minimal amount of viable tumour, crush artefact, and the presence of necrosis and calcification. The pathologist is expected to confirm the diagnosis of neuroblastoma and exclude other small round blue cell paediatric tumours. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) is therefore essential in many of these cases. The antibodies useful for diagnosis of PNTs include paired-like homeobox 2b (PHOX2B),[13] synaptophysin, neuron-specific enolase, PGP9.5 and S100. The number of biopsies required is not established but, in practice, at least four (and preferably more) are needed to establish the diagnosis, achieve molecular genetic profile, and facilitate tumour banking and research. Biopsies with at least 5,000 viable tumour cells are required for MKI assessment. Very limited tumour samples could be classified as neuroblastoma not otherwise specified (NOS) or ganglioneuroblastoma NOS.

4 Tumour handling and block selection

Ideally, all specimens should be sent fresh to the histopathology laboratory for immediate examination by the pathologist. Good communication with the clinical and surgical teams is a prerequisite.

The pathologist triages the fresh biopsy or resected tumour and selects the samples for diagnosis, which are formalin fixed, and the samples for molecular genetic investigation, future research and tumour banking (if appropriately consented), which are snap frozen. Samples from the UK and Ireland for molecular genetic testing should be sent to the SIOPEN/CCLG National Neuroblastoma Genetics Reference Centre (Northern Genetics Service Cytogenetics Laboratory, Newcastle). A number of genetic features are strongly associated with prognosis in neuroblastoma.[14–24] *MYCN* amplification is an adverse prognostic factor. SCA include deletion of 1p, 3p, 4p or 11q, or gain of 1q, 2p or 17q with or without numerical chromosomal alterations, which also have an adverse prognostic impact. Diploidy is an adverse prognostic factor. NCA are associated with a better prognosis. Mixed SCA and NCA are classified as SCA.

The formalin fixed core biopsies available for histological diagnosis, if sufficient, should be submitted in two paraffin blocks so that one of these blocks may later be available for trial or research purposes. The excised fresh tumour can be weighed and measured in three dimensions. The external surface of the resected tumour may be inked prior to sampling. It should be thinly sliced and the cut surface carefully inspected and sampled for cytogenetic studies and research and tumour banking. Ganglioneuroblastoma intermixed and ganglioneuroma are rarer than neuroblastoma and can be diagnostically and clinically challenging.[25,26] A careful examination to exclude or confirm nodules of neuroblastoma is necessary, as clonal evolution on the background of such tumours characterises ganglioneuroblastoma nodular. Any distinct or haemorrhagic nodule(s) should be identified and counted. Each nodule should also be sampled for molecular genetic studies and research and banking, if of sufficient size, since they may have different histological and genetic features. Corresponding adjacent blocks from the tumour mass and nodule(s) should be formalin fixed for correlation.

Prognosis in nodular ganglioneuroblastoma is essentially the same as the prognosis for nodules of neuroblastoma. If two or more nodules of neuroblastoma are present, prognosis is based on the neuroblastoma with the worst prognostic features.[8,27]

It is recommended that all areas of the excised tumour be adequately sampled, usually one block per centimetre of greatest dimension.[28] Any attached lymph nodes should be submitted.

5 Histology of pre-treatment tumours

The microscopic features linked with age are prognostic in the INPC classification.[7–9] The morphological features are well described in the literature.[2–5,7–11] Briefly, neuroblastoma (Schwannian stroma poor) has three grades:

- undifferentiated neuroblastoma consists of undifferentiated tumour cells with no neuropil and requires IHC to establish the diagnosis
- poorly differentiated neuroblastoma has neuroblasts with variable amounts of neuropil, <5% ganglion cell differentiation and scanty Schwann cells in the fibrovascular septa
- differentiating neuroblastoma has >5% differentiated ganglion cells and <50% Schwann cells.

Ganglioneuroblastoma intermixed (Schwannian stroma rich) has >50% Schwann cells with randomly distributed nests containing neuroblasts, maturing and mature ganglion cells, and neuropil and/or nests of naked neuropil.

Ganglioneuroma (Schwannian stroma dominant) has two subtypes:

- mature ganglioneuroma has a Schwann cell stroma with scattered mature ganglion cells with satellite cells
- maturing ganglioneuroma has a Schwann cell stroma with scattered small nests of differentiating neuroblasts and maturing ganglion cells without satellite cells or neuropil, as well as mature ganglion cells.

Nodular ganglioneuroblastoma is a composite tumour of different clones, consisting of either ganglioneuroma or ganglioneuroblastoma intermixed with one or more discrete expansile nodules of neuroblastoma. A biopsy may include both components of the tumour, but often only one component is apparent. Clinical pathological correlation is important as the biopsy of the primary tumour may show only ganglioneuroma or ganglioneuroblastoma intermixed, without the neuroblastoma, which may have disseminated. If metastatic sites such as bone marrow were positive for neuroblastoma, the tumour would be classified as ganglioneuroblastoma nodular variant subtype. Rarely, no residual neuroblastoma is identified in the resected mass even when extensively sampled. If the neuroblastoma nodule was biopsied then the ganglioneuroma or ganglioneuroblastoma intermixed component would only become apparent when the primary tumour mass was resected.

The morphology in neuroblastomas, including cellularity, and number of mitoses and karyorrhectic cells, may vary in different fields. MKI is a useful prognostic indicator in neuroblastoma (Schwannian stroma-poor) tumours. MKI is calculated using the number of karyorrhectic nuclei and mitoses in 5,000 tumour cells. A low MKI is defined as <2% (<100 per 5,000 cells), an intermediate MKI is defined as 2–4% (100–200 per 5,000 cells) and a high MKI is defined as >4% (>200 per 5,000 cells).[29] It is determined as an average made after examination of all sections and/or all representative viable areas of the tumour. In one report, a patient presented with a composite neuroblastoma composed of two histologically distinct clones, one of which had a FH and the other a UH. Fluorescent in situ hybridisation

on the paraffin sections demonstrated that *MYCN* was only amplified in the UH clone and not the FH clone.[30] Tumours with genotype–phenotype discordance have also been described.[31]

It should be noted that the MKI and the classification of neuroblastomas as FH and UH may be determined locally but these are not required for current treatment protocols in the UK.

Large red nucleoli have been associated with *MYCN*-amplified tumours.[32,33] A large cell variant of neuroblastoma associated with more aggressive behaviour was reported.[34]

Formal criteria for size and colour of nucleoli, as well as nuclear size, nuclear and cellular pleomorphism and anaplasia have not yet been established.

6 Reporting of bone marrow specimens

Bone marrow is the most common site of metastasis in neuroblastomas. Metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis is a powerful predictor of poor outcome and is used in the INRG staging system for treatment stratification. For recommended sample collection, see Appendix D.

The persistence of neuroblastoma disease (minimal or overt) in bone marrow after treatment is predictive of poor outcome, and provides a means with which to assess disease response without having to wait for the development of greater tumour burden.[35,36] Morphology on bone marrow aspirates and trephine biopsies have been used for bone marrow assessment for many years. However, these methods have limited sensitivity when neuroblastoma infiltration is <10%, and could underestimate the prevalence of bone marrow infiltration. Therefore, the revised International Neuroblastoma Response Criteria (INRC) require assessment of bone marrow aspirates and trephines for neuroblastoma cells using morphologic criteria in conjunction with appropriate antibodies to confirm the identity of neuroblastoma cells by immunocytology (if available) and/or IHC.[37] The revised INRC now include quantitative assessment of bone marrow involvement. Criteria defining minimal residual disease, stable disease and progressive disease are included in Appendix E.

In the UK, there is varied practice in terms of who reports the bone marrow aspirates and trephines. In many centres, haematologists report both aspirates and trephines, while in others, aspirates are reported by haematologists and trephines by paediatric pathologists. Regardless of the local arrangement, a composite report carrying information from both should be strived for.

A summary of recommendations for the standardised bone marrow disease assessment and reporting in children with neuroblastoma is included in Appendix E. Although the International Neuroblastoma Response Criteria Bone Marrow Working Group has recommended reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RTqPCR), it has not been incorporated in revised INRC. Currently, RTqPCR on bone marrow is only used in the UK in research as part of a trial and samples are collected for registered tumour banks. Immunocytochemistry on cytospin is also not mandatory.[37,38]

An optimal bone marrow core needle biopsy should preferably contain red bone marrow parenchyma at a minimum length of 1 cm.[38,39] The amount of haematopoietic and tumour tissue within the biopsy should be recorded in millimetres; cortical bone, cartilage, soft tissue, blood clots or areas that are crushed are excluded from the measurement.

Bone marrow trephine should be reported based on at least six haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) sections and two neuroblastoma IHC markers on three sections each. Bone marrow infiltration is estimated as the surface area occupied by the peripheral neuroblastic tumour, as a percentage of the evaluable bone marrow spaces on each biopsy within a 5% range (e.g. 0% to ≤5%, >5% to <10%, 10% to <15%, 15% to <20%, 20% to <25%, and so on).

Importantly, tumour histology should be classified as poorly differentiated, undifferentiated or differentiating. In the case of small tumour aggregates, IHC for synaptophysin can help to discriminate undifferentiated and poorly differentiated neuroblastoma. In rare cases in which stroma-rich and stroma-poor histology are present within a single biopsy, the amount of stroma-rich and stroma-poor tumour should be recorded separately as a percentage of the surface area occupied by the tumour. The MKI is not warranted.

Highly specific target antigens for which IHC is unambiguous include synaptophysin, tyrosine hydroxylase, chromogranin A and PHOX2B. Any two of these markers can be used. When suspected, Schwann cells can be reliably detected by morphology and IHC for the S100 protein.

A bone marrow biopsy is regarded as negative for tumour in the absence of neuroblastoma cell nests detected by H&E staining and IHC, using a minimum of two antibodies.

7 Post-treatment specimens

Many high-risk neuroblastomas are removed following therapy. The INPC classification is not used in post-treatment cases and the tumours are not reclassified. However, it is worth commenting on the morphology.

These tumours show varying degrees of response to therapy, with necrosis, scarring and areas of neuroblastoma-like, ganglioneuroblastoma-like and ganglioneuroma-like differentiation. If a tumour originally diagnosed as differentiating neuroblastoma on biopsy shows undifferentiated or poorly differentiated tumour on post-treatment resection, then it is recommended that treatment is escalated.

Residual tumour similar to the biopsy (e.g. poorly differentiated, differentiating and undifferentiated neuroblastoma) may be seen in the primary tumour and in lymph nodes. Paediatric surgeons and radiotherapists may be interested in the excision margins and need to know if viable tumour is present in lymph nodes and the site of these nodes as radiotherapy can then be directed to these sites. Another reason for examining the resected specimen is to allay clinical concerns. There may be no apparent clinical response to treatment. This may be due to progression of an aggressive tumour. However, it may also be observed in less aggressive tumours because of extensive differentiation with increased amount of Schwannian stroma that is non-responsive to chemotherapy. The extent or degree of necrosis is not of prognostic importance as described in other paediatric tumours, e.g. Ewing's sarcoma.

8 Core data items

8.1 Clinical information

The following are core clinical data items:

- site of specimen:
  - clinical presentation may be diverse and differential diagnoses including other metastatic or primary paediatric malignancies may require exclusion

  [Level of evidence – GPP.]
- pre- or post-treatment:
  - INPC is not applied to post-treatment tumours

  [Level of evidence – GPP.]

- site(s) of separate lymph nodes:
  - in post-treatment resected high-risk tumours, the radiotherapy field may be extended to include sites with residual viable tumour from the histology report.

  [Level of evidence – GPP.]

8.2 Macroscopic information

The following are core macroscopic data items:

- type and size of specimen – biopsy (needle or open/surgical) or resection

  [Level of evidence – GPP.]
- fresh tissue for genetic studies

  [Level of evidence – A.]
- resection: number of nodule(s) present
  - nodular variant subtype

  [Level of evidence – B.]
- lymph nodes attached – yes or no

  [Level of evidence – GPP.]
- adequate bone marrow trephine biopsy – yes or no.

  [Level of evidence – GPP.]

8.3 Microscopic information

The following are core microscopic data items:

- tumour category according to INPC:
  - neuroblastoma (Schwannian stroma poor)
  - ganglioneuroblastoma intermixed (Schwannian stroma rich)
  - ganglioneuroblastoma nodular (composite, Schwannian stroma rich/stroma dominant and stroma poor)
  - ganglioneuroma (Schwannian stroma dominant)

  [Level of evidence – A.]
- neuroblastoma – grade of differentiation:
  - NOS
  - undifferentiated
  - poorly differentiated
  - differentiating: for patients aged >18 months with localised (L2) disease, treatment will be reduced for patients with differentiating neuroblastoma compared with those with undifferentiated or poorly differentiated tumours (according to current treatments guidelines for low/intermediate-risk tumours)

  [Level of evidence – B.]
- IHC profile:
  - positive for one or more of the commonly used neural markers (PHOX2B, synaptophysin, NSE, PGP9.5) if morphology on H&E is equivocal

- establishes diagnosis in small or crushed biopsies and in undifferentiated neuroblastoma

[Level of evidence – GPP.]

- necrosis and/or calcification – present or absent
  - may limit the data, both histopathological and molecular genetic, that can be obtained from the specimen

[Level of evidence – GPP.]

- lymph node metastases – present or absent
  - extent of metastases, sites for radiotherapy

[Level of evidence – GPP.]

- bone marrow infiltration – present or absent
- bone marrow infiltration – % involvement (left, right)
- neuroblastoma differentiation:
  - undifferentiated
  - poorly differentiated
  - differentiating.

[Level of evidence – B.]

9 Non-core data items

These data items do not impact directly on patient management in the UK. However, they may be collected as part of pathological data required to support trials, to facilitate consensus in identification of morphological criteria and permit comparison between centres.

9.1 Macroscopic information

Macroscopic information includes the following:

- size in three dimensions
- number of lymph nodes
- frozen/fixed tissue for research/tumour banking with valid consent.

9.2 Microscopic information

Microscopic information includes the following:

- MKI
- nuclear pleomorphism, anaplasia, nuclear size
- nucleolar size and colour
- post-treatment changes.

10 Reporting frozen sections

Frozen sections are not routinely used in the diagnosis or management of patients with PNTs, unless there is a desire to confirm that tumour tissue is present in the specimen as an aid in triaging for diagnostic, molecular genetic studies or research, and to determine tumour cell content in molecular or genetic research studies.

11 SNOMED codes

Tumours should be coded using SNOMED codes (Appendix F). It is noted, however, that SNOMED is now in a practical transition phase, as part of the intended full implementation by the NHS and Public Health England (PHE) of SNOMED CT. SNOMED ceased to be licensed by the International Health Terminology Standards Development Organisation from 26 April 2017.

A list of applicable T and M SNOMED and SNOMED CT codes is provided in Appendix F.

Mapping SNOMED CT terminology is provided.

12 Criteria for audit

As recommended by the RCPath as key performance indicators (see *Key Performance Indicators – Proposals for implementation,* July 2013*,* www.rcpath.org/profession/quality-improvement/kpis-for-laboratory-services.html):

- cancer resections must be reported using a template or proforma, including items listed in the English Cancer Outcomes and Services Dataset (COSD), which are, by definition, core data items in RCPath cancer datasets. English Trusts were required to implement the structured recording of core pathology data in the COSD by January 2016 and to update their systems in line with subsequent COSD updates.
  - standard: 95% of reports must contain structured data
- histopathology cases must be reported, confirmed and authorised within seven and ten calendar days of the procedure
  - standard: 80% of cases must be reported within seven calendar days and 90% within ten calendar days.

13 References

1. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. *Improving Outcomes in Children and Young People with Cancer. The Manual (update).* London, UK: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, 2005.

2. Lack EE. Neuroblastoma, ganglioneuroblastoma and other related tumors. *In*: Lack EE (ed.). *Tumors of the Adrenal Glands and Extraadrenal Paraganglia AFIP Atlas of Tumor Pathology (Series 4) Fascicle 8*. Washington, D.C, USA: American Registry of Pathology in collaboration with the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, 2007.

3. Variend S, Burchill SA. Neuroblastoma. *In*: Cullinane CJ, Squire JA (eds). *Molecular Biology and Pathology of Paediatric Cancer.* Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2003.

4. Parham DM. Modern diagnosis of small cell malignancies of children. *Surg Pathol Clin* 2010;3:515–551.

5. de Davila MTG, Galluzzo L, de Sa D, Scheimberg I. Endocrine. *In*: Cohen MC, Scheimberg I (eds). *Essentials of Surgical Pediatric Pathology*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2014.

6. Cohn SL, Pearson AD, London WB, Monclair T, Ambros PF, Brodeur GM *et al.* The International Neuroblastoma Risk Group (INRG) classification system: an INRG Task Force report. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:289–297.

7. Shimada H, Ambros IM, Dehner LP, Hata J, Joshi VV, Roald B. Terminology and morphologic criteria of neuroblastic tumors: recommendations by the International Neuroblastoma Pathology Committee. *Cancer* 1999;86:349–363.

8. Peuchmaur M, d'Amore ES, Joshi VV, Hata J, Roald B, Dehner LP *et al.* Revision of the International Neuroblastoma Pathology Classification: confirmation of favorable and unfavorable prognostic subsets in ganglioneuroblastoma, nodular. *Cancer* 2003;98:2274–2281.

9. Joshi VV. Peripheral neuroblastic tumors: pathologic classification based on recommendations of International Neuroblastoma Pathology Committee (Modification of Shimada classification). *Pediatr Dev Pathol* 2000;3:184–199.

10. Shimada H, Umehara S, Monobe Y, Hachitanda Y, Nakagawa A, Goto S *et al.* International neuroblastoma pathology classification for prognostic evaluation of patients with peripheral neuroblastic tumors: a report from the Children's Cancer Group. *Cancer* 2001;92:2451–2461.

11. Ambros IM, Hata J, Joshi VV, Roald B, Dehner LP, Tuchler H *et al.* Morphologic features of neuroblastoma (Schwannian stroma-poor tumors) in clinically favorable and unfavorable groups. *Cancer* 2002;94:1574–1583.

12. Monclair T, Brodeur GM, Ambros PF, Brisse HJ, Cecchetto G, Holmes K *et al.* The International Neuroblastoma Risk Group (INRG) staging system: an INRG Task Force report. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:298–303.

13. Bielle F, Freneaux P, Jeanne-Pasquier C, Maran-Gonzalez A, Rousseau A, Lamant L *et al.* PHOX2B immunolabeling: a novel tool for the diagnosis of undifferentiated neuroblastomas among childhood small round blue-cell tumors. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2012;36:1141–1149.

14. Janoueix-Lerosey I, Schleiermacher G, Delattre O. Molecular pathogenesis of peripheral neuroblastic tumors. *Oncogene* 2010;29:1566–1579.

15. Ambros PF, Ambros IM, Brodeur GM, Haber M, Khan J, Nakagawara A *et al.* International consensus for neuroblastoma molecular diagnostics: report from the International Neuroblastoma Risk Group (INRG) Biology Committee. *Br J Cancer* 2009;100:1471–1482.

16. Bagatell R, Cohn SL. Genetic discoveries and treatment advances in neuroblastoma. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 2016;28:19–25.

17. Louis CU, Shohet JM. Neuroblastoma: molecular pathogenesis and therapy. *Annu Rev Med* 2015;66:49–63.

18. Bown N, Cotterill S, Lastowska M, O'Neill S, Pearson AD, Plantaz D *et al.* Gain of chromosome arm 17q and adverse outcome in patients with neuroblastoma. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:1954–1961.

19. Attiyeh EF, London WB, Mosse YP, Wang Q, Winter C, Khazi D *et al.* Chromosome 1p and 11q deletions and outcome in neuroblastoma. *N Engl J Med* 2005;353:2243–2253.

20. Schleiermacher G, Janoueix-Lerosey I, Ribeiro A, Klijanienko J, Couturier J, Pierron G *et al.* Accumulation of segmental alterations determines progression in neuroblastoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:3122–3130.

21. Wang LL, Teshiba R, Ikegaki N, Tang XX, Naranjo A, London WB *et al.* Augmented expression of MYC and/or MYCN protein defines highly aggressive MYC-driven neuroblastoma: a Children's Oncology Group study. *Br J Cancer* 2015;113:57–63.

22. Thompson D, Vo KT, London WB, Fischer M, Ambros PF, Nakagawara A *et al.* Identification of patient subgroups with markedly disparate rates of MYCN amplification in neuroblastoma: A report from the International Neuroblastoma Risk Group project. *Cancer* 2016;122:935–945.

23. Bosse KR, Maris JM. Advances in the translational genomics of neuroblastoma: From improving risk stratification and revealing novel biology to identifying actionable genomic alterations. *Cancer* 2016;122:20–33.

24. Newman EA, Nuchtern JG. Recent biologic and genetic advances in neuroblastoma: Implications for diagnostic, risk stratification, and treatment strategies. *Semin Pediatr Surg* 2016;25:257–264.

25. Okamatsu C, London WB, Naranjo A, Hogarty MD, Gastier-Foster JM, Look AT *et al.* Clinicopathological characteristics of ganglioneuroma and ganglioneuroblastoma: a report from the CCG and COG. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2009;53:563–569.

26. De Bernardi B, Gambini C, Haupt R, Granata C, Rizzo A, Conte M *et al.* Retrospective study of childhood ganglioneuroma. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:1710–1716.

27. Umehara S, Nakagawa A, Matthay KK, Lukens JN, Seeger RC, Stram DO *et al.* Histopathology defines prognostic subsets of ganglioneuroblastoma, nodular. *Cancer* 2000;89:1150–1161.

28. Qualman SJ, Bowen J, Fitzgibbons PL, Cohn SL, Shimada H; Cancer Committee, College of American Pathologists. Protocol for the examination of specimens from patients with neuroblastoma and related neuroblastic tumors. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2005;129:874–883.

29. Teshiba R, Kawano S, Wang LL, He L, Naranjo A, London WB *et al.* Age-dependent prognostic effect by Mitosis-Karyorrhexis Index in neuroblastoma: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Pediatr Dev Pathol* 2014;17:441–449.

30. Sano H, Gonzalez-Gomez I, Wu SQ, Armenian SH, Jubran RF, Shimada H. A case of composite neuroblastoma composed of histologically and biologically distinct clones. *Pediatr Dev Pathol* 2007;10:229–232.

31. Suganuma R, Wang LL, Sano H, Naranjo A, London WB, Seeger RC *et al.* Peripheral neuroblastic tumors with genotype-phenotype discordance: a report from the Children's Oncology Group and the International Neuroblastoma Pathology Committee. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2013;60:363–370.

32. Wang LL, Suganuma R, Ikegaki N, Tang X, Naranjo A, McGrady P *et al.* Neuroblastoma of undifferentiated subtype, prognostic significance of prominent nucleolar formation, and MYC/MYCN protein expression: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Cancer* 2013;119:3718–3726.

33. Kobayashi C, Monforte-Munoz HL, Gerbing RB, Stram DO, Matthay KK, Lukens JN *et al.* Enlarged and prominent nucleoli may be indicative of MYCN amplification: a study of neuroblastoma (Schwannian stroma-poor), undifferentiated/poorly differentiated subtype with high mitosis-karyorrhexis index. *Cancer* 2005;103:174–180.

34. Tornoczky T, Kalman E, Kajtar PG, Nyari T, Pearson AD, Tweddle DA *et al.* Large cell neuroblastoma: a distinct phenotype of neuroblastoma with aggressive clinical behavior. *Cancer* 2004;100:390–397.

35. Seeger RC, Reynolds CP, Gallego R, Stram DO, Gerbing RB, Matthay KK. Quantitative tumor cell content of bone marrow and blood as a predictor of outcome in stage IV neuroblastoma: a Children's Cancer Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;18:4067–4076.

36. Cai JY, Pan C, Tang YJ, Chen J, Ye QD, Zhou M *et al.* Minimal residual disease is a prognostic marker for neuroblastoma with bone marrow infiltration. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2012;35:275–278.

37. Park JR, Bagatell R, Cohn SL, Pearson AD, Villablanca JG, Berthold F *et al.* Revisions to the International Neuroblastoma Response Criteria: A Consensus Statement From the National Cancer Institute Clinical Trials Planning Meeting. *J Clin Oncol* 2017;35:2580–2587.

38. Burchill SA, Beiske K, Shimada H, Ambros PF, Seeger R, Tytgat GA *et al.* Recommendations for the standardization of bone marrow disease assessment and reporting in children with neuroblastoma on behalf of the International Neuroblastoma Response Criteria Bone Marrow Working Group. *Cancer* 2017;123:1095–1105.

39. Reid MM, Roald B. Deterioration in performance in obtaining bone marrow trephine biopsy cores from children. European Neuroblastoma Study Group. *J Clin Pathol* 1999;52:851–852.

Appendix A Risk stratification table

For further information on tumour category please see Appendix B and for International Neuroblastoma Risk Group (INRG) stage please see Appendix C.

Table 1: Risk stratification.

Tumour category	INRG stage	Age	Tumour grade	*MYCN* amplification	SCA	Risk group
GN, GNBi	L1/L2	Any				Very low
NB, GNBn	L1	Any		Not amplified		Very low
				Amplified		High
NB, GNBn	L2	<18 months		Not amplified	No	Low
				Not amplified	Yes	Intermediate
		>18 months	Differentiating	Not amplified	No	Low
				Not amplified	Yes	Intermediate
			Poorly differentiated	Not amplified		Intermediate
			Undifferentiated	Not amplified		Intermediate
		Any		Amplified		High
NB, GNBn	M	<12 months		Not amplified		Intermediate
		12–18 months		Not amplified	No	High*
		>12 months		Not amplified	Yes	High
		Any		Amplified		High
NB, GNBn	MS	<12 months		Not amplified	No	Low**
				Not amplified	Yes	Low
				Amplified		High

*Receive less intense treatment if respond well.
**Observation only.

Abbreviations:

GN: ganglioneuroma; GNBi: ganglioneuroblastoma intermixed; GNBn: ganglioneuroblastoma nodular; NB: neuroblastoma; SCA: segmental chromosomal abnormalities.

Blank cells indicate that these factors are not relevant to decision making.

Appendix B International Neuroblastoma Pathology Classification (INPC) of peripheral neuroblastic tumours[7,8]

Table 1: International Neuroblastoma Pathology Classification tumour categories and grades for peripheral neuroblastic tumours.

Tumour grade	Tumour category
Neuroblastoma (Schwannian stroma poor) • Undifferentiated • Poorly differentiated • Differentiating	NB
Ganglioneuroblastoma intermixed (Schwannian stroma rich)	GNBi
Ganglioneuroblastoma nodular (composite Schwannian stroma rich/stroma dominant and stroma poor)	GNBn
Ganglioneuroma (Schwannian stroma dominant) • Maturing • Mature	GN

Abbreviations:

GN: ganglioneuroma; GNBi: ganglioneuroblastoma intermixed; GNBn: ganglioneuroblastoma nodular; NB: neuroblastoma.

Table 2: Favourable and unfavourable histologies.

INPC histology category and grade	Age	MKI	Favourable/unfavourable histology
Ganglioneuroma mature/maturing	Any	Any	Favourable
Ganglioneuroma intermixed	Any	Any	Favourable
Neuroblastoma undifferentiated	Any	Any	Unfavourable
Neuroblastoma poorly differentiated	Any	>4%	Unfavourable
	>18 months	Any	Unfavourable
	<18 months	<4%	Favourable
Neuroblastoma differentiating	>5 years	Any	Unfavourable
	<18 months	<4%	Favourable
	<18 months	>4%	Unfavourable
	18 months–5 years	<2%	Favourable
	18 months–5 years	>2%	Unfavourable
Ganglioneuroblastoma nodular	Favourable/unfavourable based on the morphology of the neuroblastoma nodule		

Abbreviations:

MKI: mitosis-karyorrhexis index.

Table 3: Mitosis-karyorrhexis index.

MKI level	Expressed as a percentage	Expressed as cell count
Low MKI	<2%	<100/5,000 cells
Intermediate MKI	2–4%	100–200/5,000 cells
High MKI	>4%	>200/5,000 cells

Note: Category and tumour as described in Table 1 remain important for risk stratification and management. MKI and INPC classification of peripheral neuroblastic tumours as favourable and unfavourable has become less relevant. Tables 2 and 3 are included in this appendix for historical reasons and some trials may still require this information.

Abbreviations:

MKI: mitosis-karyorrhexis index.

Appendix C Clinical staging system

International Neuroblastoma Risk Group Staging System[12]

L1 Localised tumour defined by image-defined risk factors (IDRFs) in one body compartment, not involving vital structures

L2 Locoregional tumour with one or more IDRF

M Metastatic tumour (not MS)

MS Metastatic tumour limited to skin, liver and bone marrow in children under 18 months old.

Appendix D Bone marrow assessment



*RTqPCR is currently used in research trials and samples are collected for registered tumour banks.
EDTA: ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; H&E: haematoxylin and eosin; RTqPCR: reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

Appendix E Interpretation and reporting of reassessment bone marrow examination[38]

Baseline/previous bone marrow findings	Reassessment marrow findings	Interpretation
Infiltration	No infiltration	CR
<5% infiltration	>0% and ≤5% infiltration	MRD
No infiltration	≤5% infiltration	
>20% infiltration	≤5% infiltration	
No infiltration	>5% infiltration	PD
Infiltration	>2-fold of previous involvement and is >20% infiltration	
Infiltration	≥5% and dos not meet the criteria of CR, MRD or PD	SD
No infiltration	No infiltration	Not involved
Infiltration/no infiltration	Inadequate for assessment	Not evaluable

CR: complete response; MRD: minimal residual disease; PD: progressive disease; SD: stable disease.

Appendix F SNOMED coding

SNOMED 'T' codes

Topographical codes	SNOMED code (SNOMED 3.5/ SNOMED 2)	SNOMED CT terminology	SNOMED CT code
Adrenal gland, NOS	T-B3000/T-93000	Entire adrenal gland (body structure)	181127006
Right adrenal gland	T-B3010/T-93010	Entire right adrenal gland (body structure)	281625001
Left adrenal gland	T-B3020/T-93020	Entire left adrenal gland (body structure)	281626000
Abdomen, NOS	T-D4000/T-Y4100	Entire abdomen (body structure)	302553009
Abdomen, peritoneum, retroperitoneum, NOS	T-D4000/T-Y4000	Entire abdomen, peritoneum and retroperitoneum (combined site) (body structure)	277050003
Abdominal cavity	T-D4010/T-Y4500	Entire abdominal cavity (body structure)	361294009
Thorax, NOS	T-D3000/T-Y2100	Entire thorax (body structure)	302551006
Right thorax	T-D3010/T-Y2110	Entire right thorax (body structure)	362682009
Left thorax	T-D3020/T-Y2120	Entire left thorax (body structure)	362683004
Lymph node, NOS	T-C4900/T-08000	Entire lymph node (body structure)	181756000
Lymph node of abdomen, NOS	T-C4400/T-08400	Entire abdominal lymph node (body structure)	245342005
Aortic lymph node	T-C4480/T-08480	Entire aortic lymph node (body structure)	731061004
Liver, NOS	T-62000/T-56000	Entire liver (body structure)	181268008
Soft tissues, NOS	T-1A000/T-1X000	Entire soft tissues (body structure)	727285002
Orbit soft tissue	T-AA00B/T-XX00Y	Entire soft tissues of orbit (body structure)	362501007
Skin, NOS	T-01000	Entire skin (body structure)	181469002
Bone, NOS	T-11001/T-1X500	Entire bone (organ) (body structure)	90780006
Bone marrow, iliac crest	T-C1002/T-06002	All iliac bone marrow (body structure)	732089003
Bone marrow, NOS	T-C1000/T-06000	All bone marrow (body structure)	279729006

SNOMED 'M' codes

Morphological codes	SNOMED code (SNOMED 3.5/ SNOMED 2)	SNOMED CT terminology	SNOMED CT code
Neuroblastoma, NOS	M95003	Neuroblastoma (morphologic abnormality)	87364003
Neuroblastoma, metastatic, NOS	M95006	Neuroblastoma, metastatic (morphologic abnormality)	704147007
Ganglioneuroblastoma	M94903	Ganglioneuroblastoma (morphologic abnormality)	69515008
Ganglioneuroma	M94900	Ganglioneuroma (morphologic abnormality)	53801007

Appendix G Reporting proforma for peripheral neuroblastic tumours

Surname: ……Forenames:……..Date of birth: ……Sex:……..

Hospital……Hospital No: ……NHS No:……..

Date of surgery: ……..…Date of report authorisation: ……Report No:……...

Date of receipt:……...Pathologist:……Clinician:…….....

Site of specimen ……

Nature of specimen

Needle biopsy	○	Open biopsy	○
Pre-treatment primary tumour resection	○	Post-treatment primary tumour resection	○

Fresh tissue/imprint for genetic studies	Yes	○	No	○
Paraffin block/section for genetic studies	Yes	○	No	○

INPC tumour category

Neuroblastoma

NOS	○	Poorly differentiated	○
Undifferentiated	○	Differentiating	○

Ganglioneuroblastoma

NOS	○	Intermixed	○
Nodular	○		
Number of nodules	……	Variant subtype	Yes ○ No ○

Ganglioneuroma

Maturing	○	Mature	○

Immunohistochemistry profile

Synaptophysin	Positive	○	Negative	○	Not done	○
PGP9.5	Positive	○	Negative	○	Not done	○
PHOX2B	Positive	○	Negative	○	Not done	○
NSE	Positive	○	Negative	○	Not done	○
S100	Positive	○	Negative	○	Not done	○
Other (specify): ……..	Positive	○	Negative	○	Not done	○

Necrosis	Present	○	Absent	○
Calcification	Present	○	Absent	○

Lymph nodes

Not received	○		
Metastasis present	○	Metastasis absent	○
Site	……		

Bone marrow trephine biopsies

Adequate trephine	Yes	○	No	○	Not known	○
Infiltration	Present	○	Absent	○		
Percentage involvement	Left	……	Right	……		
Grade of neuroblastoma	NOS	○	Poorly differentiated	○		
	Undifferentiated	○	Differentiating	○		

Molecular genetics

MYCN amplification	Present	○	Absent	○	Not done	○
Segmental chromosomal abnormalities	Present	○	Absent	○	Not done	○
List **……**						
Numerical chromosomal abnormalities	Present	○	Absent	○	Not done	○
List **……**						
Other molecular abnormalities	Present	○	Absent	○		
List **……**						

SNOMED code(s) **T…… M……**

T…… M……

Signature…… **Date……...……..**

Appendix H Reporting proforma for peripheral neuroblastic tumours in list format

Element name	Values	Implementation notes
Site of specimen	Free text	
Nature of specimen	Single selection value list: • Needle biopsy • Open biopsy • Pre-treatment primary tumour resection • Post-treatment primary tumour resection	
Fresh tissue/imprint for genetic studies	Single selection value list: • Yes • No	
Paraffin block/section for genetic studies	Single selection value list: • Yes • No	
INPC tumour category	Single selection value list: • Neuroblastoma, NOS • Neuroblastoma, undifferentiated • Neuroblastoma, poorly differentiated • Neuroblastoma, differentiating • Ganglioneuroblastoma, NOS • Ganglioneuroblastoma, intermixed • Ganglioneuroblastoma, nodular • Ganglioneuroma, maturing • Ganglioneuroma, mature	
Number of nodules	Integer	To be completed if 'INPC tumour category, Ganglioneuroblastoma, Nodular' is selected.
Variant subtype	Single selection value list: • Yes • No	To be completed if 'INPC tumour category, Ganglioneuroblastoma, Nodular' is selected.
Immunohistochemistry profile, Synaptophysin	Single selection value list: • Positive • Negative • Not done	
Immunohistochemistry profile, PGP9.5	Single selection value list: • Positive • Negative • Not done	

Element name	Values	Implementation notes
Immunohistochemistry profile, PHOX2B	Single selection value list: • Positive • Negative • Not done	
Immunohistochemistry profile, NSE	Single selection value list: • Positive • Negative • Not done	
Immunohistochemistry profile, S100	Single selection value list: • Positive • Negative • Not done	
Immunohistochemistry profile, Other	Single selection value list: • Positive • Negative	
Immunohistochemistry profile, Other, specify	Free text	
Necrosis	Single selection value list: • Present • Absent	
Calcification	Single selection value list: • Present • Absent	
Lymph nodes	Single selection value list: • Not received • Metastasis present • Metastasis absent	
Lymph nodes, Metastasis present, Site	Free text	To be completed if 'Lymph nodes, Metastasis present' is selected.
Lymph nodes, Metastasis absent, Site	Free text	To be completed if 'Lymph nodes, Metastasis absent' is selected.
Adequate bone marrow trephine biopsies	Single selection value list: • Yes • No • Not known	
Presence of bone marrow infiltration	Single selection value list: • Present • Absent	

Element name	Values	Implementation notes
Bone marrow infiltration, Percentage involvement, Left	Integer	To be completed if 'Presence of bone marrow infiltration, Present' is selected.
Bone marrow infiltration, Percentage involvement, Right	Integer	To be completed if 'Presence of bone marrow infiltration, Present' is selected.
Grade of neuroblastoma in bone marrow	Single selection value list: • NOS • Poorly differentiated • Undifferentiated • Differentiating	
MYCN amplification	Single selection value list: • Present • Absent • Not done	
Segmental chromosomal abnormalities	Single selection value list: • Present • Absent • Not done	
Segmental chromosomal abnormalities, List	Free text	
Numerical chromosomal abnormalities	Single selection value list: • Present • Absent • Not done	
Numerical chromosomal abnormalities, List	Free text	
Other molecular abnormalities	Single selection value list: • Present • Absent	
Other molecular abnormalities, List	Free text	
SNOMED Topography code	May have multiple codes. Look up from SNOMED tables.	
SNOMED Morphology code	May have multiple codes. Look up from SNOMED tables.	

Appendix I Summary table – Explanation of grades of evidence

(modified from Palmer K *et al. BMJ* 2008;337:1832)

Grade (level) of evidence	Nature of evidence
Grade A	At least one high-quality meta-analysis, systematic review of randomised controlled trials or a randomised controlled trial with a very low risk of bias and directly attributable to the target cancer type or A body of evidence demonstrating consistency of results and comprising mainly well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of randomised controlled trials or randomised controlled trials with a low risk of bias, directly applicable to the target cancer type.
Grade B	A body of evidence demonstrating consistency of results and comprising mainly high-quality systematic reviews of case-control or cohort studies and high-quality case-control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding or bias and a high probability that the relation is causal and which are directly applicable to the target cancer type or Extrapolation evidence from studies described in A.
Grade C	A body of evidence demonstrating consistency of results and including well-conducted case-control or cohort studies and high-quality case-control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding or bias and a moderate probability that the relation is causal and which are directly applicable to the target cancer type or Extrapolation evidence from studies described in B.
Grade D	Non-analytic studies such as case reports, case series or expert opinion or Extrapolation evidence from studies described in C.
Good practice point (GPP)	Recommended best practice based on the clinical experience of the authors of the writing group.

Appendix J AGREE II guideline monitoring sheet

The cancer datasets of the Royal College of Pathologists comply with the AGREE II standards for good quality clinical guidelines. The sections of this dataset that indicate compliance with each of the AGREE II standards are indicated in the table.

AGREE II standard	Section of guideline
Scope and purpose	
1 The overall objective(s) of the guideline is (are) specifically described	1
2 The health question(s) covered by the guideline is (are) specifically described	1
3 The population (patients, public, etc.) to whom the guideline is meant to apply is specifically described	Foreword
Stakeholder involvement	
4 The guideline development group includes individuals from all the relevant professional groups	Foreword
5 The views and preferences of the target population (patients, public, etc.) have been sought	Foreword
6 The target users of the guideline are clearly defined	1
Rigour of development	
7 Systematic methods were used to search for evidence	Foreword
8 The criteria for selecting the evidence are clearly described	Foreword
9 The strengths and limitations of the body of evidence are clearly described	Foreword
10 The methods for formulating the recommendations are clearly described	Foreword
11 The health benefits, side effects and risks have been considered in formulating the recommendations	Foreword, 1
12 There is an explicit link between the recommendations and the supporting evidence	1–11
13 The guideline has been externally reviewed by experts prior to its publication	Foreword
14 A procedure for updating the guideline is provided	Foreword
Clarity of presentation	
15 The recommendations are specific and unambiguous	1–11
16 The different options for management of the condition or health issue are clearly presented	1–11
17 Key recommendations are easily identifiable	1–11
Applicability	
18 The guideline describes facilitators and barriers to its application	Foreword
19 The guideline provides advice and/or tools on how the recommendations can be put into practice	Appendices A–H
20 The potential resource implications of applying the recommendations have been considered	Foreword
21 The guideline presents monitoring and/or auditing criteria	12
Editorial independence	
22 The views of the funding body have not influenced the content of the guideline	Foreword
23 Competing interests of guideline development group members have been recorded and addressed	Foreword