



The Royal College of Pathologists  
Pathology: the science behind the cure

# CURRICULUM FOR SPECIALTY TRAINING IN DIAGNOSTIC NEUROPATHOLOGY

JULY 2021

## Table of contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2. Purpose</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Purpose statement	2
2.2 High-level curriculum outcomes: capabilities in practice	3
2.3 Training pathway	4
2.4 Duration of training	5
2.5 Flexibility	5
2.6 Less than full-time training	5
2.7 Generic professional capabilities and good medical practice	6
<b>3. Learning and teaching</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1 The training programme	7
3.2 Entry requirements	7
3.3 Teaching and learning methods	8
3.4 Taking time out of programme (OOP)	10
<b>4. Quality management</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>5. Intended use of curriculum by trainers and trainees</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>6. Equality and diversity</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>7. Content of learning</b>	<b>14</b>
7.1 Capabilities in practice	14
7.1.1 Generic capabilities in practice	15
7.1.2 Specialty capabilities in practice	21
7.2 Syllabus	24
<b>8. Programme of assessment</b>	<b>24</b>
8.1 Purpose of assessment	24
8.2 Programme of assessment	25
8.3 Assessment of CiPs	26
8.4 Critical progression points	27
8.5 Evidence of progress	27
8.6 Evidence of competence	28
8.7 Decisions on progress	28
8.8 Assessment blueprint	29
8.9 Supervision and feedback	29
<b>9. Curriculum review and updating</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>10. Transitional arrangements</b>	<b>30</b>
Appendix A: Learning map for integrated cellular pathology training (ICPT)	32
Appendix B: Diagnostic neuropathology higher specialty training learning map	44
Appendix C: Diagnostic neuropathology entrustment levels	57
Appendix D: Diagnostic neuropathology assessment blueprint	60
Appendix E: Directed supervised learning events by year of training	66

## **1. Introduction**

Cellular pathology diagnostic services underpin the practice of modern medicine across all specialties. The practice of cellular pathology is essentially one of examining patients' organs and tissues by eye (macroscopic examination), viewing samples at the cellular level by light (and sometimes electron) microscope, and undertaking additional studies to provide diagnostic and prognostic information or determine the cause of death. Whole slide scanning and digital pathology are increasingly being validated alongside or as a substitute for conventional light microscopy. Careful communication and discussion of findings with the multidisciplinary team, external agencies and the family (if appropriate) are key. Cellular pathologists' practice, particularly for cancer specimens, extends to informing treatment decisions, and this is expected to increase in light of the rapid expansion of molecular diagnostics. The family of cellular pathology specialties encompasses histopathology, diagnostic neuropathology, paediatric and perinatal pathology and forensic histopathology.

Diagnostic neuropathology is concerned with examining tissues of the nervous system and its coverings (mostly brain and spinal cord but also including peripheral nerve, muscle and often ocular tissues). The specialty is currently unique, as it requires patient-facing clinical competence to be gained beyond that acquired in the foundation programme. This requirement highlights the very strong link to and integration with the other clinical neurosciences (e.g. neurosurgery, neurology, neuroradiology, neuroimmunology) and the integrated approach to diagnosis, which places a very high emphasis on clinicopathological correlation and collaboration. Increasingly, there is a growing reliance on the interpretation and integration of molecular studies to inform the diagnosis. Autopsy work relates to coronial and forensic work, as well as a growing number of brain bank donation cases including large neurodegenerative cohorts, for which careful diagnostic input and comprehensive characterisation is required.

While cancer diagnosis and subtyping may dominate in adult diagnostic neuropathology specimens, the classification of inherited disorders and other biological processes that cause disease is significant, and a sizeable part of diagnostic neuropathology.

## **2. Purpose**

### **2.1 Purpose statement**

The purpose of the curriculum is to set the standards for attainment of the Certificate of Completion of Training (CCT) or Certificate of Eligibility for Specialist Registration (CESR) via the Combined Programme (CP) in diagnostic neuropathology and to ensure that trainees are fully prepared to work within a diagnostic neuropathology service at consultant level in the National Health Service (NHS).

Trainees in the four cellular pathology specialties will initially enter a period of integrated cellular pathology training (ICPT). This will include common fundamental learning according to the generic capabilities in practice (CiPs) and specialty competencies detailed below. Trainees will all undertake short periods of training across the four specialties along with basic autopsy training and training in molecular pathology. It is anticipated that they will undertake the Fellowship Examination of the Royal College of Pathologists (FRCPath) Part 1 between months 12 and 24 (full-time equivalent). This will include an evaluation of aptitude for cellular pathology, underpinned by a comprehensive portfolio.

After two years of training, trainees electing to pursue a career in diagnostic neuropathology will apply, through national recruitment, for a diagnostic neuropathology training post (dependent on gaining a satisfactory outcome 1 at ICPT). Higher specialty training commences from 2.5 years and will require the accrual of more specialised and in-depth generic and specialty competencies underlying the CiPs. These CiPs are described later. Higher specialty training in neuropathology has an indicative training time of 3–3.5 years. It

is anticipated that neuropathology trainees will attempt the FRCPath Part 2 examination in neuropathology in their penultimate year of training. They must have a minimum of six months training after the successful completion of the FRCPath Part 2 prior to completion of training.

This purpose statement has been endorsed by the General Medical Council's (GMC) Curriculum Oversight Group and confirmed as meeting the needs of the health services of the countries of the UK.

## 2.2 High-level curriculum outcomes: capabilities in practice

The 11 CiPs describe the professional tasks or work within the scope of diagnostic neuropathology. Each CiP has a set of descriptors associated with that activity or task. Descriptors are intended to help trainees and trainers recognise the minimum level of knowledge, skills and attitudes which should be demonstrated for an entrustment decision to be made. By the completion of training and award of the CCT, the doctor must demonstrate that they are capable of unsupervised practice in all generic and specialty CiPs.

The seven generic CiPs cover the universal requirements of all specialties as described in the generic professional capabilities (GPC) framework. Assessment of the generic CiPs will be underpinned by the GPC descriptors. Satisfactory sign-off will indicate that there are no concerns before the trainee can progress to the next part of the assessment of clinical capabilities.

The four specialty CiPs describe the laboratory and clinical tasks or activities that are essential to the practice of diagnostic neuropathology. The specialty CiPs have also been mapped to the GPC domains and subsections to reflect the generic professional capabilities required to undertake the clinical tasks. Satisfactory sign-off requires demonstration that, for each of the CiPs, the trainee's performance meets or exceeds the minimum expected level of performance expected for completion of this stage of diagnostic neuropathology training, as defined in the curriculum.

**Table 1: The seven generic and four specialty capabilities in practice**


Learning outcomes – CiPs	
<b>Generic CiPs</b>	
1.	Able to function successfully within healthcare and other organisational and management systems to deliver consistent high-quality patient care.
2.	Able to work within ethical and legal frameworks across all aspects of clinical practice.
3.	Communicates effectively and is able to share decision making, while maintaining appropriate situational awareness, professional behaviour and professional judgement.
4.	Maintains patient safety at the forefront of clinical working, and can utilise quality improvement activity realistically within the constraints of the role.
5.	Able to contribute to and support research.
6.	Behaves as an educator in the context of the role and promotes educational culture.
7.	Able to self-appraise, learn and adapt.
<b>Specialty CiPs</b>	
8.	Able to demonstrate leadership and management within the laboratory setting for the benefit of patient care.
9.	Able to use laboratory and other services effectively in the investigation, diagnosis,

- and management of patients, relatives, and the deceased.
10. Able to manage and contribute to a multidisciplinary team effectively.
  11. Able to take, manage and interpret pathological specimens accurately and safely, mindful of risks to self and others.

### 2.3 Training pathway

Trainees in the specialty will initially develop knowledge of laboratory work, including the analysis and sampling of organs and microscopic analysis of samples, including immunohistochemistry and molecular analysis. Following completion of the FRCPATH Part 1 examination (typically after 18 months of training) they will continue to develop their skills in diagnostic neuropathology with greater responsibility, less direct supervision, and increasing experience with independent reporting of suitable specimens. After passing the FRCPATH Part 2 examination, trainees will continue to take graded responsibility further, to enable the transition to independent practice required of a CCT holder.

**Figure 1: Structure of training in diagnostic neuropathology**



On completion of the diagnostic neuropathology training programme, the trainee must have acquired and be able to demonstrate:

- professional behaviour appropriate to being able to work as a consultant
- good working relationships with colleagues and the appropriate communication skills required for the practice of neuropathology
- the knowledge, skills and attitudes to act in a professional manner at all times
- the knowledge, skills and behaviours to provide appropriate teaching and to participate in effective research to underpin neuropathology practice, and an understanding of the context, meaning and implementation of clinical governance
- a knowledge of the structure and organisation of the NHS
- the management skills required to run a histopathology/neuropathology laboratory
- familiarity with health and safety regulations, as applied to the work of a neuropathology department/service.

## **2.4 Duration of training**

The Royal College of Pathologists anticipates that 5.5–6 years would normally be required to satisfactorily complete the diagnostic neuropathology curriculum to the required depth and breadth, including 2.5 years of the ICPT and 3 or 3.5 years of neuropathology training as described below to achieve a CCT or CESR (CP).

The CCT or CESR (CP) in diagnostic neuropathology will be awarded on the recommendation of the Royal College of Pathologists following evidence of:

- satisfactory completion of the diagnostic neuropathology curriculum
- satisfactory outcomes in the recommended number of supervised learning events (SLEs), including multi-source feedback
- FRCPath by examination
- acquisition of Annual Review of Competence Progression (ARCP) outcome 6.

## **2.5 Flexibility**

Diagnostic neuropathology training offers excellent opportunities to contribute to research and service development across the whole field of medicine, as well as providing opportunities for training in other related specialties, and in a range of settings as outlined above. GPCs will promote flexibility in postgraduate training, as these common capabilities can be transferred from specialty to specialty.

## **2.6 Less than full-time training**

Less than full-time training is the term used to describe training on a basis that is not full time – normally between five and eight sessions per week. In exceptional circumstances, trainees may be allowed to undertake training at less than 50% of full time. These circumstances should be considered by the trainee's deanery and should have the support of the postgraduate dean or their deputy. A placement at less than 50% of full time should be for a maximum of 12 months and should be subject to regular review.

The aim of less than full-time training is to provide opportunities for doctors in the NHS who are unable to work full time. Doctors can apply for less than full-time training if they can provide evidence that 'training on a full-time basis would not be practicable for well-founded individual reasons'.

Less than full-time trainees must accept two important principles:

- less than full-time training shall meet the same requirements (in depth and breadth) as full-time training
- the total duration and quality of less than full-time training must be not less than those of a full-time trainee.

In other words, a less than full-time trainee will have to complete the minimum training time for their specialty pro rata.

Prior to beginning their less than full-time training, trainees must inform the Training Department at the Royal College of Pathologists in order that the Diagnostic Neuropathology College Specialty Training Committee (CSTC) can ensure that their less than full-time training programme will comply with the requirements of the CCT. The documentation towards a less than full-time training application will be collected and checked to ensure compliance and that a revised provisional CCT date is issued. It must also be ensured that the less than full-time training post is approved as part of a GMC-approved training programme. Separate guidance and an application form are available on the College website for this purpose.

## 2.7 Generic professional capabilities and good medical practice

The GMC has developed the GPC framework with the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges (AoMRC) to describe the fundamental, career-long, generic capabilities required of every doctor. The framework describes the requirement to develop and maintain key professional values and behaviours, knowledge and skills, using a common language. GPCs also represent a system-wide, regulatory response to the most common contemporary concerns about patient safety and fitness to practise within the medical profession. The framework will be relevant at all stages of medical education, training and practice.

**Figure 2: The nine domains of generic professional capabilities**



Good medical practice (GMP) is embedded at the heart of the GPC framework. In describing the principles, duties and responsibilities of doctors, the GPC framework articulates GMP as a series of achievable educational outcomes which will inform curriculum design and assessment.

The GPC framework describes nine domains with associated descriptors outlining the 'minimum common regulatory requirement' of performance and professional behaviour for those completing a CCT or its equivalent. These attributes are common, baseline and generic standards expected of all medical practitioners achieving a CCT or its equivalent.

The 20 domains and subsections of the GPC framework are directly identifiable in the diagnostic neuropathology curriculum. They are mapped to each of the generic and specialty CiPs, which are in turn mapped to the syllabus and to the assessment blueprints. This is to emphasise those core professional capabilities that are essential to safe clinical practice and that must be demonstrated at every stage of training as part of the holistic development of responsible professionals.

This approach will allow early detection of the issues most likely to be associated with fitness to practise and aims to minimise the possibility that any deficit is identified during the final phases of training.

### **3. Learning and teaching**

#### **3.1 The training programme**

This section of the curriculum outlines the training regulations for diagnostic neuropathology. In line with GMC guidance, this reflects the regulation that only training that has been prospectively approved by the GMC can lead towards the award of the CCT. Training that has not been prospectively approved by the GMC can still be considered, but the trainee's route of entry to the Specialist Register changes to the CESR (CP) route.

The organisation and delivery of postgraduate training is the responsibility of Health Education England (HEE) and its Local Education and Training Boards (LETBs), NHS Education for Scotland (NES), the Wales Deanery and the Northern Ireland Medical & Dental Training Agency (NIMDTA). A training programme director will be responsible for coordinating the diagnostic neuropathology training programme. In England, the local organisation and delivery of training is typically overseen by a school of pathology within a LETB.

Progression through the programme will be determined by the ARCP process and the training requirements for each indicative year of training are summarised in the diagnostic neuropathology ARCP decision aid (available on the College website). The successful completion of the programme will be dependent on achieving the expected level in all CiPs and GPCs. The programme of assessment will be used to monitor and determine progress through the programme. Training will normally take place in a range of district general hospitals (ICPT) and teaching hospitals (ICPT and DN).

The sequence of training should ensure appropriate progression in experience and responsibility. The training to be provided at each training site is defined to ensure that, during the programme, the entire syllabus is covered, and also that unnecessary duplication and educationally unrewarding experiences are avoided. However, the sequence of training should ideally be flexible enough to allow the trainee to develop a special interest.

#### **3.2 Entry requirements**

Trainees are eligible for entry to a diagnostic neuropathology training programme following satisfactory completion of ICPT training. Entry to ICPT training is possible after satisfactory completion of a UK foundation training programme or equivalent. Entry is also possible following post-foundation clinical training. Information regarding entry to ST1 training in England and Wales is available from the NHS Histopathology Training Schools. Scottish and Northern Irish ST1 trainees do not enter specific training schools, but the programme is otherwise identical.

It is anticipated that some trainees may enter ICPT with the aim of undertaking neuropathology training having gained prior experience in the clinical neurosciences (CN). An appropriate review of their prior knowledge and skills will determine which capabilities have already been gained; this will be reflected by amending the indicative CCT date accordingly. Given that CN learning is an integral component of DN training, candidates with prior CN experience may be entitled to have this recognised by a compensatory reduction of DN training on a case-by-case basis and by a maximum of 6 months. This should be based on an appropriate local review within the context of the ARCP and by determining and clearly documenting which capabilities based on knowledge and skills have already been gained.

### 3.3 Teaching and learning methods

#### Models of learning

There are three broad categories of learning which trainees employ throughout run-through training: the instructionist model, the constructionist model and the social learning model. The models of learning can be applied to any stage of training in varying degrees. Most of the curriculum will be delivered through work-based experiential learning, but the environment within the department should encourage independent self-directed learning and make opportunities for relevant off-the-job education by making provision for attendance at local, national and, where appropriate, international meetings and courses. Independent self-directed learning should be encouraged by, for example, making use of the e-learning tool or providing reference textbooks. It is the trainee's responsibility to seek opportunities for experiential learning.

The rotations are also arranged in such a way that trainees have time available for participation in research projects as part of their training. The more academically inclined trainees will be encouraged to take time out from their training time to include a more sustained period of grant-funded research, working towards an MSc, MRes/MD or PhD.

Learning for knowledge, competence, performance and independent action will be achieved by assessment and graded responsibility for reporting, allowing trainees at various stages of training to acquire responsibility for independent reporting. Assessments will be set by the Royal College of Pathologists in the form of SLEs, including multi-source feedback and the FRCPath examination.

The principles of Bloom's taxonomy have been applied to the knowledge, skills and behaviours outlined in the curriculum to indicate the trainees' learning journey from the initial acquisition of knowledge and comprehension through to application and analysis, and resulting in the synthesis and evaluation required to achieve mastery in the specialty of diagnostic neuropathology. In using this model, it is acknowledged that there are many different versions of the taxonomy. The achievement of mastery in this curriculum requires the trainee to demonstrate a combination of detailed knowledge in the associated political context, with the ability to do independent clinical work, and to lead and organise services.

#### Learning experiences

The following teaching/learning methods will be used to identify how individual objectives will be achieved:

- **Routine work:** the most important learning experience will be day-to-day work. Diagnostic neuropathology trainees are amongst the most closely supervised groups in postgraduate medical training. This close supervision allows frequent short episodes of teaching, which may hardly be recognised as such by trainees.
- **Textbooks and online resources:** Diagnostic neuropathology departments have a wide range of reference texts available. These allow trainees to 'read around' routine cases that they are reporting. Diagnostic neuropathology is a subject requiring a great deal of background learning and reading, as well as the practical experience gained within day-to-day working, and trainees should take every advantage to 'read around' their subject.
- **Private study:** more systematic reading of textbooks and journals will be required in preparation for examinations.
- **'Black box' and other departmental teaching sessions:** these occur on a regular basis in most departments.
- **Regional training courses:** these are valuable learning opportunities. Trainees should be released from service duties to attend.

- **National training courses:** these are particularly helpful during preparation for the FRCPATH Part 2 examination. In addition to providing specific teaching, they also allow trainees to identify their position in relation to the curriculum and their peers.
- **Scientific meetings:** research and the understanding of research are essential to the practice of diagnostic neuropathology. Trainees should be encouraged to attend and present their work at relevant meetings.
- **Discussion with biomedical scientists (BMS) staff:** BMS staff can provide excellent training, particularly in relation to laboratory methods, health and safety, service delivery, procurement and human resources.
- **Multidisciplinary team meetings (MDTs):** attendance at and contribution to MDTs and clinicopathological conferences offer the opportunity for trainees to develop an understanding of clinical management and appreciate the impact of laboratory diagnosis on patient care. The MDT is also an important arena for the development of interprofessional communication skills.
- **Attachment to specialist departments:** attachments of this kind will be required if a training programme cannot offer the full range of specialist experience needed to complete the curriculum. They will also be beneficial for those trainees in their final year of training who wish to develop a special interest before taking up a consultant post. (e.g. neuromuscular pathology, neurodevelopmental pathology, brain banking).
- **E-learning:** there is a wide range of neuropathology-relevant high-quality teaching materials available, from digital slide teaching archives to clinical neurosciences modules (e.g. eBrain) and a whole range of genomics/bioinformatics, management/leadership and other more generic modules.
- **Learning with peers:** given the small size of neuropathology as a specialty, trainees are distributed to training centres either singly or in very small groups (typically two). However, there is a strong national trainees' group that meets regularly for national teaching sessions, which receives financial support from the British Neuropathological Society (BNS). Trainees will also meet trainees from other cellular pathology and clinical-neurosciences-related specialties. This provides ample opportunity for learning with peers.
- **Work-based experiential learning:** a significant proportion of day-to-day learning will be achieved using this approach and ample opportunities exist for doing so.
- **Medical clinics, including specialty clinics:** trainees will need to attend clinics in clinical-neuroscience-related subjects in order to acquire the competencies in this subject area, which are predominantly knowledge-based. Specialist clinics include neuro-oncology, neurosurgery, general neurology, muscle, nerve, epilepsy and neurodegeneration/dementia clinics.
- **Practical laboratory experience:** trainees are expected to take part and contribute to routine laboratory processes, e.g. validation/verification procedures, writing and developing standard operating procedures, finetuning staining protocols, laboratory audits, error logging and preparation for UKAS surveillance visits.
- **Formal undergraduate/postgraduate teaching:** trainees are expected to contribute to formal teaching in the undergraduate/postgraduate setting, e.g. giving lectures, small group teaching sessions, tutorials, workshops, pathology demonstrations and one-to-one teaching/supervision of junior or visiting trainees.
- **Independent self-directed learning:** trainees will examine the curriculum/syllabus and supplement their learning with self-directed learning from the wide range of available opportunities in order to fill any gaps and foster special interests.
- **Formal study:** this may involve trainees using a component of their study leave allowance for formal study, particularly prior to FRCPATH exams.

It must be ensured that the appropriate teaching and learning methods are employed for each area of the curriculum.

### 3.4 Taking time out of programme (OOP)

There are a number of circumstances when a trainee may seek to spend some time out of the specialty training programme to which they have been appointed. These are outlined below. Further information can also be found in [the reference guide for postgraduate specialty training in the UK](#).

#### Time out of training

The GMC has provided [guidance](#) on the management of absences from training and their effect on a trainee's CCT date. The GMC guidance states that within each 12-month period where a trainee has been absent for a total of 14 days or more (when a trainee would normally be at work), a review to determine if the trainee's CCT date should be extended is triggered. The absence includes all forms of absence such as sickness, maternity, paternity, and compassionate paid/unpaid leave, but does not include study or annual leave or prospectively approved out-of-programme training/research. The administration of the absence and any extension to training will be undertaken by the relevant deanery in consultation with the Royal College of Pathologists where necessary. The GMC supports the deaneries implementing this guidance flexibly to reflect the nature and timing of the absence, and its effect on the individual's competence. Each trainee's circumstances will be considered on an individual basis and any changes to the CCT date will reflect the trainee's demonstration of competence.

#### Acting up as a consultant (AUC)

A doctor in training can apply to the postgraduate dean to take time out of programme and credit the time towards the CCT/CESR (CP) as an AUC. This will normally be for a period of three months (pro rata for less than full-time trainees). Where the AUC is in the same training programme, then prospective approval is not needed from the GMC. If it is a different training programme, the usual out-of-programme (OOP) process applies. When trainees are acting up as a consultant, appropriate supervision must be in place and approval will only be considered if the acting up placement is relevant to gaining the competences, knowledge, skills and behaviours required by the curriculum. AUC posts can only be taken in the final year of specialty training.

#### Out-of-programme research (OOPR)

Some trainees may wish to spend a period of time in research after entering diagnostic neuropathology training, as OOPR.

- **Research undertaken prior to entry to a diagnostic neuropathology training programme**

Trainees who have undertaken a period of research prior to entering a diagnostic neuropathology training programme can apply to have this period recognised towards a CCT or CESR (CP), if it includes clinical or laboratory work directly relevant to the diagnostic neuropathology curriculum and there is prospective approval from the GMC.

- **Research undertaken during a diagnostic neuropathology training programme**

Trainees who undertake a period of out-of-programme research (OOPR) after entering a diagnostic neuropathology training programme and obtaining their National Training Number (NTN) may have a period of research recognised towards the award of the CCT or CESR (CP). Trainees must ensure that their OOPR is approved prospectively before beginning their research and that it includes clinical or laboratory work directly relevant to the diagnostic neuropathology curriculum, and must demonstrate that they have achieved, or will be able to achieve, all requirements of the curriculum.

Prior to beginning the period of research, trainees must agree the OOPR with their deanery and apply to the Training Department at the Royal College of Pathologists so that the Diagnostic Neuropathology CSTC can ensure that the trainee will comply with the requirements of the CCT programme and issue a revised provisional CCT date if necessary. It must be ensured that, following deanery agreement and acceptance from the Diagnostic Neuropathology CSTC, the GMC prospectively approves the OOPR so that the period can count towards a CCT or CESR (CP).

[Separate guidance and an application form](#) are available on the College website for this purpose.

### **Academic training**

Trainees who intend to pursue a career in academic or research medicine may undertake specialist training in diagnostic neuropathology. Such trainees will normally be clinical lecturers and hold an academic NTN (NTN(A)). It is expected that such trainees should complete the requirements of the diagnostic neuropathology curriculum in addition to their academic work. However, the content of their training, while meeting the requirements of the curriculum, will have to take into account their need to develop their research and the provisional CCT date should be amended accordingly. NTN(A) holders in diagnostic neuropathology should consult the Training Department at the College on an individual basis with regard to the agreement of their provisional CCT date.

### **Out-of-programme training (OOPT)**

The GMC must prospectively approve clinical training out of programme if it is to be used towards a CCT or CESR (CP) award. This could include posts inside or outside the UK that are not already part of a GMC-approved programme in the same specialty. Further approval from the GMC is not required if the OOPT is already part of a GMC-approved programme in the same specialty.

Trainees can have up to one year of OOPT recognised towards the award of the CCT. Prior to beginning the period of OOPT, trainees must agree the OOPT with their deanery and inform the Training Department at the Royal College of Pathologists that they will be undertaking OOPT so that the Diagnostic Neuropathology CSTC can ensure that the trainee will comply with the requirements of the CCT programme.

The postgraduate dean is required to submit an application for prospective GMC approval for any OOP that is to count towards a CCT or CESR (CP) on behalf of the trainee, and this application is required to include support from the Royal College of Pathologists. If prospective approval for OOP is not sought from the GMC, then it cannot count towards a CCT or CESR (CP). Where the OOPT is in a GMC-approved programme in the same specialty, an application for further GMC approval is not required.

Trainees must have their OOPT agreed by the relevant deanery, accepted by the Diagnostic Neuropathology CSTC and approved by the GMC before beginning it.

[Separate guidance and an application form](#) are available on the College website for this purpose.

### **Out-of-programme clinical experience (OOPE)**

Trainees may seek agreement for OOPE to undertake clinical experience that has not been approved by the GMC and that will not contribute to award of a CCT or CESR (CP). In these circumstances, it is likely that the CCT date will need to be extended. During their diagnostic neuropathology training, some trainees may wish to spend a period of training in a related clinical specialty, such as paediatrics or oncology. This is acceptable and should be

undertaken as out-of-programme clinical experience (OOPE). However, such a period of training – although useful to the individual trainee in broadening their understanding of the relationship between diagnostic neuropathology and the clinical specialties – will not be accepted by the Diagnostic Neuropathology CSTC towards the requirements of the CCT.

#### **4. Quality management**

The curriculum outlines the minimum diagnostic neuropathology training requirements for delivery in a training programme. It guides educational supervisors (ES) as to what is required to deliver the curriculum, and trainees in the learning and assessment methods required for satisfactory completion of training.

It is the responsibility of the training programme director (TPD) and their deanery, with the assistance of the regional STC to ensure that the programme delivers the depth and breadth of diagnostic neuropathology training outlined in the curriculum. The TPD must ensure that each post within the programme is approved by the GMC. Heads of Pathology School (HOPS) have a strategic overview of training in the pathology specialties. They are responsible for ensuring that the delivery of education and training meets the College's and the GMC's agreed curriculum and is provided to the standards set by the College and the GMC.

It is the responsibility of the GMC to provide quality assurance for training programmes, and the responsibility of the Royal College of Pathologists through the Diagnostic Neuropathology CSTC to ensure training programmes across the UK are able to deliver a balanced programme of training.

It is the responsibility of the College to monitor the quality of our curricula and assessments, and there are several means by which we achieve this, including but not limited to: having curricula and assessment systems as a standing item on the agenda of respective CSTC meetings, thereby allowing Heads of Schools, TPDs and trainee representatives to raise issues and make suggestions for change; seeking feedback from trainees as part of Trainee Advisory Committee meetings; and issuing an annual report to the GMC detailing exam results and analysing any findings which may arise.

It is the responsibility of the educational supervisor of a particular post or attachment within a programme to ensure that the training delivered in their post meets the requirements of the relevant section(s) of the curriculum. The educational supervisor must undertake regular educational appraisals with their trainee, at the beginning, middle and end of a section of training, to ensure structured and goal-oriented delivery of training.

Trainees must [register with the College](#) on appointment to a diagnostic neuropathology training programme. It is the trainee's responsibility to become familiar with the curriculum, inclusive of the generic and specialty CiPs, and assessment requirements both for the satisfactory completion of each stage of training and for the award of the CCT or CESR (CP). They must be familiar with all aspects of the assessment system – [SLEs including multi-source feedback](#) (MSF) and the [FRCPath examination](#). It is the trainee's responsibility to ensure that they undertake SLEs on a regular basis and that they apply in good time for the FRCPath examinations. Trainees must also make appropriate use of the [electronic portfolio](#) – the learning environment for pathology trainees (LEPT).

#### **5. Intended use of curriculum by trainers and trainees**

This curriculum and the ARCP decision aid are available from the Royal College of Pathologists website at [www.rcpath.org](http://www.rcpath.org)

Clinical and educational supervisors should use the curriculum and decision aid as the basis of their discussion with trainees, particularly during the appraisal process. Both trainers and trainees are expected to have a good knowledge of the curriculum and should use it as a guide for their training programme.

The four cellular pathology specialties have elected to use a learning map to describe learning and trainee activity according to CiP descriptors for each year of training, noting that the descriptors are the same for ICPT as for higher specialty training, and also that they are the same across the four cellular pathology higher specialty training curricula. This provides a level of detail of training relating to activity and supplements the detail around content of learning outlined in the areas of learning documentation and detailed in the syllabi. It allows a trainee to identify where they are at any point in training and how they need to grow in order to progress and to evidence this using their training portfolio. It also allows for the educational supervisor to establish at what level a trainee is performing, and for constructive conversation and planning where a difference of opinion may exist.

The map is spiral in nature, in that year 1 activity is not replaced in subsequent years but built upon. We recognise that trainees, in line with GMP, will work within their own level of expertise, seeking advice and supervision from those around them as appropriate. This is integral to the learning map – all activities should be considered as occurring with appropriate supervision. The level of supervision for different years of training is dependent on the strengths and weaknesses of the trainee and on the complexity of the case in hand. Broadly speaking, the level of supervision anticipated is similar to that adopted in clinical specialties and is described in terms of entrustable professional activities (EPA) for the specialty CiPs.

For example, considering the first descriptor in CiP 11: 'management of a macroscopic specimen', a second year ICPT trainee will be able to 'extend the approach (of a first year trainee) to cover common specimens submitted and modify according to best practice guidelines'. They will tend to undertake this at EPA level 2. A third year diagnostic neuropathology trainee will be able to 'pay new attention to imaging findings and common neuropathological conditions' at EPA level 3. Similarly, a third year histopathology trainee will be able to 'apply ICPT-derived learning to a histopathology context'.

Each trainee will engage with the curriculum by maintaining an ePortfolio. This is the [learning environment for pathology trainees](#) (LEPT) system which captures trainees' progress during training. It records SLEs including multi-source feedback (MSF) and there is a functionality to support the ARCP process. The trainee will use the curriculum to develop learning objectives and reflect on learning experiences.

It is the trainees' responsibility to ensure their LEPT ePortfolio is kept up to date, arrange assessments and ensure they are recorded, prepare drafts of appraisal forms, maintain their personal development plan, record their reflections on learning and record their progress through the curriculum.

Clinical supervisors and others contributing to assessment will provide formative feedback to the trainee on their performance throughout the training year. This feedback will include a global rating, in order to indicate to the trainee and their educational supervisor how they are progressing in a particular year of training.

The educational supervisor's main responsibilities are to use LEPT evidence such as outcomes of assessments, reflections and personal development plans to inform appraisal meetings, to update the trainee's record of progress through the curriculum, to write end-of-attachment appraisals, and to report on the trainee's progress to the training programme

director. This report will include an assessment of the trainee's progress against generic and specialty CiPs.

Deaneries, training programme directors and ARCP panels may use the LEPT system to monitor the progress of trainees for whom they are responsible.

All appraisal meetings, personal development plans and SLEs (including MSF assessments) should be recorded in the LEPT system. Trainees are encouraged to reflect on their learning experiences and to record these reflections in the LEPT system. Reflections can be kept private or shared with supervisors.

Reflections, assessments and other LEPT content should be used to provide evidence towards acquisition of curriculum capabilities. Trainees should add their own self-assessment ratings to record their view of their progress. The aims of the self-assessment are to:

- provide the means for reflection and the evaluation of current practice
- inform discussions with supervisors to help both gain insight and assist in developing personal development plans
- identify shortcomings between experience, competency and areas defined in the curriculum, so as to guide future clinical exposure and learning.

## **6. Equality and diversity**

The following is an extract from the Royal College of Pathologists' diversity and equality policy and approach. A full copy of the policy is available on the [College website](#).

The Royal College of Pathologists is committed to the principle of diversity and equality in employment, membership, academic activities, examinations and training. As part of this commitment we are concerned to inspire and support all those who work with us directly and indirectly.

Integral to our approach is the emphasis we place on our belief that everyone should be treated in a fair, open and honest manner. Our approach is a comprehensive one and reflects all areas of diversity, recognising the value of each individual. We aim to ensure that no one is treated less favourably than another on the grounds of sex, race, age, sexual orientation, gender reassignment, disability, pregnancy and maternity, religion and belief and marriage and civil partnership. Our intention is to reflect not only the letter but also the spirit of equality legislation.

Our policy will take account of current equality legislation and good practice as outlined in the Equality Act 2010 which supersedes/includes all previous legislation.

The Training Department collects information about the gender and ethnicity of trainees as part of their registration with the College. Further information about the monitoring activities of the College trainees, candidates and Fellows are available in the College policy.

## **7. Content of learning**

### **7.1 Capabilities in practice**

CiPs describe the professional tasks or work within the scope of neuropathology. CiPs are based on the format of entrustable professional activities, which are methods of using the professional judgement of appropriately trained, expert assessors as a key aspect of the validity of assessment and a defensible way of forming global judgements of professional performance.

Each CiP has a set of descriptors associated with that activity or task. Descriptors are intended to help trainees and trainers recognise the minimum level of knowledge, skills and attitudes which should be demonstrated by diagnostic neuropathologists. Trainees may use these capabilities to provide evidence of how their performance meets or exceeds the minimum expected level of performance for their year of training.

Specialty CiPs emphasise the need to demonstrate professional behaviour with regard to patients, carers, colleagues and others. Good doctors work in partnership with patients and respect their rights to privacy and dignity. They treat each patient as an individual. They do their best to make sure all patients receive good care and treatment that will support them to live as well as possible, whatever their illness or disability. Appropriate professional behaviour should reflect the principles of GMP and GPC.

In order to complete training and be recommended to the GMC for the award of CCT and entry to the Specialist Register, the doctor must demonstrate that they are capable of unsupervised practice in all generic and specialty CiPs.

The diagnostic neuropathology curriculum centres on a learning map (appendices A and B) that describes the appropriate level of capability for each CiP descriptor at each ARCP decision point. Learning is additive and spiral in nature; in this way, the capabilities described are additive year on year rather than alternative. The portfolio provides evidence of the level of attainment of each of these descriptors, in order to help the adult learner identify areas for development, and the educational supervisor and ARCP panel reach a balanced decision. The decision taken at ARCP will include a judgement of the evidenced position of the trainee on the learning map according to their year of training.

Satisfactory sign-off at the end of diagnostic neuropathology training requires demonstration that, for each of the CiPs, the trainee's performance meets or exceeds the minimum level of performance expected for completion of that year of training.

This section of the curriculum details the 11 generic and specialty CiPs for diagnostic neuropathology with expected levels of performance, mapping to relevant GPCs and the evidence that may be used to make an entrustment decision.

### **7.1.1 Generic capabilities in practice**

The seven generic CiPs cover the universal requirements of all specialties as described in GMP and the GPC framework. Assessment of the generic CiPs will be underpinned by the descriptors for the nine GPC domains and evidenced against the performance and behaviour expected at that stage of training. Satisfactory sign-off will indicate that there are no concerns before the trainee can progress to the next part of the assessment of clinical capabilities. It will not be necessary to assign a level of supervision for these non-clinical CiPs.

In order to ensure consistency and transferability, the generic CiPs have been grouped under the GMP-aligned categories used in the foundation programme curriculum plus an additional category for wider professional practice:

- professional behaviour and trust
- communication, teamworking and leadership
- safety and quality
- wider professional practice.

For each generic CiP there is a set of descriptors of the observable skills and behaviours which would demonstrate that a trainee has met the minimum level expected.

**Table 2: Generic capabilities in practice (CiPs) and descriptors**

<b>Diagnostic neuropathology generic CiPs</b>	
<b>Category 1: Professional behaviour and trust</b>	
<b>1. Able to function effectively within healthcare and other organisational and management systems to deliver consistent high-quality patient care.</b>	
Descriptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrates awareness of and adherence to the GMC professional requirements</li> <li>• Demonstrates recognition of public health issues including population health, social detriments of health and global health perspectives</li> <li>• Practises promotion of an open and transparent culture</li> <li>• Demonstrates engagement in career planning</li> <li>• Demonstrates capabilities in dealing with complexity and uncertainty</li> </ul>
GPCs	Domain 1: Professional knowledge Domain 3: Professional values and behaviours <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Professional requirements</li> <li>• National legislative requirements</li> <li>• The health service and healthcare systems in the four countries</li> </ul> Domain 9: Capabilities in research and scholarship
Evidence to inform decision	Workplace-based assessments Structured ES report Multi-source feedback FRCPATH Part 2
<b>2. Able to work within ethical and legal frameworks across all aspects of clinical practice.</b>	
Descriptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrates awareness of national legislation and legal responsibilities, including safeguarding vulnerable groups</li> <li>• Demonstrates behaviour in accordance with ethical and legal requirements</li> <li>• Demonstrates ability to offer apology or explanation when appropriate</li> <li>• Demonstrates ability to advise clinicians and other health professionals on medico-legal issues related to pathology, cognisant of national variations in practice</li> </ul>
GPCs	Domain 1: Professional knowledge Domain 3: Professional values and behaviours <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Professional requirements</li> <li>• National legislative requirements</li> <li>• The health service and healthcare systems in the four countries</li> </ul> Domain 4: Capabilities in health promotion and illness prevention Domain 7: Capabilities in safeguarding vulnerable groups Domain 8: Capabilities in education and training Domain 9: Capabilities in research and scholarship

Evidence to inform decision	Workplace-based assessments FRCPATH Part 2
-----------------------------	---

<b>Category 2: Communication, teamworking and leadership</b>	
<b>3. Communicates effectively and is able to share decision making, while maintaining appropriate situational awareness, professional behaviour and professional judgement.</b>	
Descriptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrates effective communication with all colleagues and members of the multidisciplinary team</li> <li>• Demonstrates clear communication with patients and carers as appropriate</li> <li>• Identifies and manages barriers to communication (e.g. cognitive impairment, speech and hearing problems, capacity issues, cultural issues)</li> <li>• Demonstrates effective consultation skills including effective verbal and nonverbal interpersonal skills</li> <li>• Demonstrates effective management and teamworking skills appropriately, including influencing, negotiating, re-assessing priorities and effectively managing complex, dynamic situations</li> </ul>
GPCs	<p>Domain 2: Professional skills</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Practical skills</li> <li>• Communication and interpersonal skills</li> <li>• Dealing with complexity and uncertainty</li> <li>• Clinical acumen and awareness of clinical skills (such as: history taking, diagnosis and medical management; consent; humane interventions; prescribing medicines safely; using medical devices safely; infection control and communicable disease)</li> <li>• The health service and healthcare systems in the four countries</li> </ul> <p>Domain 5: Capabilities in leadership and teamworking</p>
Evidence to inform decision	Workplace-based assessments

<b>Category 3: Safety and quality</b>	
<b>4. Maintains patient safety at the forefront of clinical working, can utilise quality improvement activity realistically within the constraints of the role.</b>	
Descriptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Raises and escalates concerns where there is an issue with patient safety or quality of care</li> <li>• Contributes to and delivers quality improvement</li> <li>• Identifies basic human factors principles and practice at individual, team, organisational and system levels</li> <li>• Recognises the importance of non-technical skills and crisis resource management</li> <li>• Recognises and works within limit of personal competence</li> </ul>
GPCs	<p>Domain 1: Professional knowledge</p> <p>Domain 2: Professional skills</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Practical skills</li> <li>• Communication and interpersonal skills</li> <li>• Dealing with complexity and uncertainty</li> <li>• Clinical acumen and awareness of clinical skills (such as: history taking, diagnosis and medical management; consent; humane interventions; prescribing medicines safely; using medical devices safely; infection control and communicable disease)</li> </ul> <p>Domain 3: Professional values and behaviours</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Professional requirements</li> <li>• National legislative requirements</li> <li>• The health service and healthcare systems in the four countries</li> </ul> <p>Domain 4: Capabilities in health promotion and illness prevention</p> <p>Domain 5: Capabilities in leadership and teamworking</p> <p>Domain 6: Capabilities in patient safety and quality improvement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient safety</li> <li>• Quality improvement</li> </ul>
Evidence to inform decision	Workplace-based assessments FRCPATH Part 2

**Category 4: Wider professional practice****5. Able to contribute to and support research.**

Descriptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Describes and explains principles of research and academic writing</li><li>• Describes and explains legal and ethical frameworks underlying research in the UK, particularly tissue-based research, and demonstrates ability to follow these guidelines</li><li>• Describes and explains structures supporting health service research</li><li>• Demonstrates awareness of sources of finance to support research</li><li>• Demonstrates ability to carry out critical appraisal of the literature</li></ul>
GPCs	Domain 1: Professional knowledge Domain 3: Professional values and behaviours <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Professional requirements</li><li>• National legislative requirements</li><li>• The health service and healthcare systems in the four countries</li></ul> Domain 7: Capabilities in safeguarding vulnerable groups Domain 9: Capabilities in research and scholarship
Evidence to inform decision	Workplace-based assessments

**6. Behaves as an educator in the context of the role and promotes educational culture.**

Descriptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Demonstrates effective teaching, training and supervision to peers, medical students, junior doctors, laboratory staff and others as appropriate</li><li>• Demonstrates ability to deliver effective feedback to trainees, with appropriate action plan</li></ul>
GPCs	Domain 1: Professional knowledge Domain 8: Capabilities in education and training
Evidence to inform decision	Workplace-based assessments

**7. Able to self-appraise, learn and adapt.**

Descriptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Able to apply reflective learning strategies to aid learning and improve performance</li><li>• Demonstrates ability to apply knowledge and adapt to new clinical situations</li><li>• Demonstrates ability to adapt and work effectively with different teams, departments, professional groups and external agencies</li></ul>
GPCs	Domain 1: Professional knowledge

	Domain 3: Professional values and behaviours Domain 5: Capabilities in leadership and teamworking Domain 6: Capabilities in patient safety and quality improvement
Evidence to inform decision	Workplace-based assessments

### 7.1.2 Specialty capabilities in practice

The four specialty CiPs describe the tasks or activities which are essential to the practice of the cellular pathology specialties. These CiPs have been mapped to the nine GPC domains to reflect the generic professional capabilities required to undertake these tasks.

**Table 3: Specialty capabilities in practice (CiPs) for cellular pathology and their descriptors**

<b>Cellular pathology specialty CiPs</b>	
<b>8. Able to demonstrate leadership and management within the laboratory setting for the benefit of patient care.</b>	
Descriptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Describes and explains the structure, resources and legislation surrounding laboratory practice</li> <li>• Demonstrates awareness of developments, both scientific and managerial, that may affect the organisation and delivery of Pathology services (e.g. commissioning)</li> <li>• Demonstrates ability to write a business case and draw upon the expertise and opinions of others in this process</li> <li>• Demonstrates understanding of method validation</li> <li>• Demonstrates ability to effectively use internal quality control and external quality assurance information to diagnose and resolve analytical problems</li> </ul>
GPCs	Domain 1: Professional knowledge Domain 2: Professional skills <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Practical skills</li> <li>• Communication and interpersonal skills</li> <li>• Dealing with complexity and uncertainty</li> </ul> Domain 3: Professional values and behaviours <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Professional requirements</li> <li>• National legislative requirements</li> <li>• The health service and healthcare systems in the four countries</li> </ul> Domain 4: Capabilities in health promotion and illness prevention Domain 5: Capabilities in leadership and teamworking Domain 6: Capabilities in patient safety and quality improvement Domain 7: Capabilities in safeguarding vulnerable groups
Evidence to inform decision	Workplace-based assessments

**9. Able to use laboratory and other services effectively in the investigation, diagnosis, and management of patients, relatives, and the deceased.**

Descriptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Describes and explains laboratory information management systems and other healthcare IT systems, including understanding the legislation surrounding information governance</li> <li>• Effectively liaises with specialty services and requests appropriate investigations</li> <li>• Can interpret reports from related clinical disciplines in the light of pathology findings, mindful of the pitfalls of interpretation</li> <li>• Describes and explains reasoning behind investigational and diagnostic advice clearly to clinicians, laboratory staff, legal professionals and lay persons</li> </ul>
GPCs	<p>Domain 1: Professional knowledge            Domain 2: Professional skills</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Practical skills</li> <li>• Communication and interpersonal skills</li> <li>• Dealing with complexity and uncertainty</li> </ul> <p>Domain 3: Professional values and behaviours            Domain 4: Capabilities in health promotion and illness prevention            Domain 5: Capabilities in leadership and teamworking            Domain 6: Capabilities in patient safety and quality improvement            Domain 7: Capabilities in safeguarding vulnerable groups</p>
Evidence to inform decision	<p>Workplace-based assessments            FRCPATH Part 2</p>

**10. Able to manage and contribute to a multi-disciplinary team effectively.**

Descriptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrates effective management and teamworking skills, including influencing, negotiating, continually re-assessing priorities and effectively managing complex, dynamic situations</li> <li>• Identifies and supports effective continuity and coordination of patient care through the appropriate transfer of information</li> <li>• Recognises the importance of prompt and accurate information sharing with the team primarily responsible for the care of the patient</li> <li>• Able to work effectively with outside agencies such as HM Coroner, the Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal Service (COPFS), the GMC, charitable organisations and regional, national and international research/diagnostic networks</li> <li>• Able to integrate the results in order to advise an MDT and able to provide prognostic information</li> </ul>
GPCs	<p>Domain 1: Professional knowledge            Domain 2: Professional skills</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Practical skills</li> <li>• Communication and interpersonal skills</li> <li>• Dealing with complexity and uncertainty</li> <li>• Clinical acumen and awareness of clinical skills (such as: history taking, diagnosis and medical management; consent; humane interventions;</li> </ul>

	<p>prescribing medicines safely; using medical devices safely; infection control and communicable disease)</p> <p>Domain 3: Professional values and behaviours</p> <p>Domain 5: Capabilities in leadership and teamworking</p>
Evidence to inform decision	Workplace-based assessments

**11. Able to take, manage and interpret pathological specimens accurately and safely, mindful of risks to self and others.**

Descriptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Able to interpret a macroscopic surgical specimen in anatomical terms accurately, for diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic purposes</li> <li>• Able to identify and interpret microscopic features (including additional techniques) in order to provide an accurate surgical pathology report to inform the multidisciplinary team for diagnostic and prognostic purposes</li> <li>• Able to use appropriate published guidelines and diagnostic coding as required</li> <li>• Able to provide an accurate report in clear and appropriate language, in written and spoken form, in a timely manner</li> <li>• Able to perform a post-mortem examination of a type usually encountered in clinical practice, in order to inform the coroner, procurator fiscal, hospital team, family and others appropriately</li> <li>• Able to interpret all macroscopic and microscopic findings identified from the post-mortem examination in order to evaluate and identify disease processes, and their likely biological and or clinical significance</li> <li>• Able to portray an appropriate amount of certainty around a pathological diagnosis so as to influence the multidisciplinary team accordingly</li> <li>• Able to provide a provisional verbal report urgently, according to clinical need, and document appropriately (e.g. for intraoperative pathology)</li> <li>• Able to counsel next of kin and peer health professionals on the outcomes of pathology investigations and post-mortem examinations</li> <li>• Demonstrate the ability to report independently</li> </ul>
GPCs	<p>Domain 1: Professional knowledge</p> <p>Domain 2: Professional skills</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Practical skills</li> <li>• Communication and interpersonal skills</li> <li>• Dealing with complexity and uncertainty</li> <li>• Clinical acumen and awareness of clinical skills (such as: history taking, diagnosis and medical management; consent; humane interventions; prescribing medicines safely; using medical devices safely; infection control and communicable disease)</li> </ul> <p>Domain 3: Professional values and behaviours</p> <p>Domain 6: Capabilities in patient safety and quality improvement</p> <p>Domain 7: Capabilities in safeguarding vulnerable groups</p>

Evidence to inform decision	Workplace-based assessments FRCPATH Part 1 exam FRCPATH Part 2 exam
-----------------------------	---

## 7.2 Syllabus

The scope of diagnostic neuropathology is broad. Any attempt to list all relevant methods, presentations, conditions, and issues would be extensive, but would inevitably be incomplete and would rapidly become out of date.

The table below details the key areas of diagnostic neuropathology. These are described in more detail in the appended syllabus. Each of these areas should be regarded as a context in which trainees should be able to demonstrate CiPs and GPCs. Trainees will need to become familiar with the relevant knowledge, skills and values/attitudes related to these areas. The patient should always be at the centre of knowledge, learning and care.

Syllabus overview for ICPT:

- deeper understanding of undergraduate medical pathology, pathological basis of disease and anatomy
- macroscopic and microscopic appearance of disease processes in organs, samples of tissues and cellular specimens, across all organ systems
- the autopsy process
- the role of the history and associated clinical information in interpreting pathological findings
- evolving ways of working: digital pathology and molecular pathology
- report production: quality aspects, writing, recording and working with IT systems
- laboratory organisation, accreditation and management
- generic skills relating to health and safety, legal and ethical frameworks, education and supporting research
- general principles of working in the cellular pathology smaller specialties.

Syllabus overview for diagnostic neuropathology higher specialty training:

- macroscopic and microscopic features of CNS and PNS disease, including intra-operative, surgical and post-mortem practice
- working with members of the neuroscience MDT
- understanding how to investigate potential genetic disorders in autopsy and surgical cases
- interpretation of molecular pathology results in the context of microscopic features, the clinical findings and associated opinion
- examination of CSF, muscle, nerve and ophthalmic samples
- demonstration of neuropathological autopsy techniques
- understanding and identifying complications of neurosurgical (and related) care.

## 8. Programme of assessment

### 8.1 Purpose of assessment

The Royal College of Pathologists' mission is to promote excellence in the practice of pathology and to be responsible for maintaining standards through training, assessments, examinations and professional development. The RCPATH assessment strategy contains further information, but the programme of assessment will reassure the public, professions, and other relevant bodies that the trainee is fit for purpose and ready to be a consultant by:

- providing relevant feedback and support to the trainee about their progress and learning needs
- ensuring fairness for all candidates regardless of their background

- driving learning demonstrated through the acquisition of knowledge and skill
- supporting trainees to progress at their own pace by measuring their capacity to achieve competencies for their chosen career path
- indicating the capability and potential of a trainee through tests of applied knowledge and skill relevant to the specialty
- demonstrating readiness to progress to the next year or stage of training, having met the required standard of the previous stage
- enabling the trainee to collect all necessary evidence for the ARCP
- gaining Fellowship of the Royal College of Pathologists (FRCPath)
- providing evidence for the award of the CCT.

A blueprint of the diagnostic neuropathology assessment system, mapped to each CiP descriptor and thus good medical practice can be viewed at appendix D.

## **8.2 Programme of assessment**

Our programme of assessment refers to the integrated framework of exams, assessments in the workplace and judgements made about a trainee during their approved programme of training. The purpose of the programme of assessment is to robustly evidence, ensure and clearly communicate the expected levels of performance at critical progression points, and to demonstrate satisfactory completion of training as required by the curriculum.

The programme of assessment is comprised of several different individual types of assessment. These include the FRCPath examination, and summative and formative assessments. A range of assessments is needed to generate the necessary evidence required for global judgements to be made about satisfactory performance, progression, and completion of training. All assessments, including those conducted in the workplace, are linked to the relevant curricular learning outcomes (e.g. through the blueprinting of the assessment system to the stated curricular outcomes).

The programme of assessment emphasises the importance and centrality of professional judgement in making sure learners have met the learning outcomes and expected levels of performance set out in the approved curricula. Assessors will make accountable, professional judgements. The programme of assessment includes how professional judgements are used and collated to support decisions on progression and satisfactory completion of training.

The assessments will be supported by structured feedback for trainees. Assessment tools will be both formative and summative and have been selected on the basis of their fitness for purpose.

Assessment will take place throughout the training programme, to allow trainees to continually gather evidence of learning and to provide formative feedback. Those assessment tools which are not identified individually as summative will contribute to summative judgements about a trainee's progress as part of the programme of assessment. The number and range of these will ensure a reliable assessment of the training relevant to their stage of training and will achieve coverage of the curriculum.

Reflection and feedback should be an integral component to all WBPAs. In order for trainees to maximise benefit, reflection and feedback should take place as soon as possible after an event. Every clinical encounter can provide a unique opportunity for reflection and feedback and this process should occur frequently. Feedback should be of high quality and should

include an action plan for future development for the trainee. Both trainees and trainers should recognise and respect cultural differences when giving and receiving feedback.

### **8.3 Assessment of CiPs**

Assessment of CiPs and their individual descriptors involves looking across a range of different skills and behaviours to make global decisions (as described in the learning map) about a trainee's suitability to take on particular responsibilities or tasks. The map provides a framework for the trainee to evidence their capabilities and identify opportunities for improvement through the year. It also aids the decision taken at the ARCP, on the basis of evidence, regarding progression.

Clinical supervisors and others contributing to assessment will provide formative feedback to the trainee on their performance throughout the training year. This feedback will include a global rating, in order to indicate to the trainee and their educational supervisor how they are progressing at that stage of training. To support this, workplace-based assessments and multiple consultant reports will include global assessment anchor statements.

For optimum reliability, assessments should be undertaken by as many different assessors as possible. Trainees are encouraged to include assessments from a broad range of consultants and senior staff.

#### **Global assessment anchor statements**

A trainee's agreed position at a point in time across the learning maps, in the context of the associated EPA levels, should be reviewed for each CiP and a decision should be taken at ARCP regarding how the trainee is performing globally.

Recognising that learning is not linear, judgement should be used in determining the global assessment anchor statement for each CiP at ARCP. For example, considering CiP 10 for a year 4 diagnostic neuropathology trainee: the trainee may not quite perform all five listed descriptors as for 37–48 FTE months of training at EPA level 3; one may be more advanced than this or at a higher EPA level (or the reverse), but if the dominant picture is that they are meeting expectations for this year of training, then that global assessment anchor statement should be employed. The anchor statements are as follows:

- below expectations for this year of training; may not meet the requirements for critical progression point
- meeting expectations for this year of training; expected to progress to next stage of training
- above expectations for this year of training; expected to progress to next stage of training.

Towards the end of the training year, trainees will make a self-assessment of their progression for each CiP and record this in the LEPT system with signposting to the evidence to support their rating.

The educational supervisor will review the evidence in the LEPT system, including SLEs and the trainee's self-assessment, and record their judgement on the trainee's performance in the ES report, with commentary.

For generic and specialty CiPs, the ES will indicate whether the trainee is meeting expectations or not using the learning maps below.

Entrustability scales are behaviourally anchored ordinal scales based on progression to competence and reflect a judgement that has clinical meaning for assessors. These should

be used alongside the learning map to inform the assessment of the trainee's overall performance. An outline grid of levels expected for diagnostic neuropathology specialty CiPs can be viewed in appendix C.

**Table 4: Level descriptors for specialty CiPs**

<b>Level</b>	<b>Descriptor</b>
Level 1	Entrusted to observe only – no provision of clinical care.
Level 2	Entrusted to act with direct supervision: The trainee may provide clinical care, but the supervising physician is physically within the hospital or other site of patient care and is immediately available if required to provide direct bedside supervision.
Level 3	Entrusted to act with indirect supervision: The trainee may provide clinical care when the supervising physician is not physically present within the hospital or other site of patient care, but is available by means of telephone and/or electronic media to provide advice, and can attend at the bedside if required to provide direct supervision.
Level 4	Entrusted to act unsupervised.

#### **8.4 Critical progression points**

There will be three key progression points during diagnostic neuropathology training. The first is on attainment of the FRCPATH Part 1 by completion of ICPT, the second on attainment of the FRCPATH Part 2 in diagnostic neuropathology by 4.5 years, allowing a minimum of 6 months of experiential learning before the award of the CCT, which is the third key progression point.

It is anticipated that the majority of trainees entering histopathology will do so from foundation training.

#### **8.5 Evidence of progress**

##### **Methods of assessment**

Trainees will be assessed in a number of different ways during their training. Workplace-based assessment, in the form of SLEs, allows the trainee to be assessed at regular intervals in the workplace by an appropriately trained, qualified and experienced assessor. The MSF assessment, amongst other things, generates candid feedback on behaviour, attitude, communication and teamworking issues. The FRCPATH examination provides an external, quality-assured assessment of the trainee's knowledge of their specialty and their ability to apply that knowledge in the practice of the specialty. Satisfactory completion of all assessments and examinations will be monitored as part of the ARCP process and will be one of the criteria upon which eligibility to progress will be judged. A pass in the FRCPATH examination is required as part of the eligibility criteria for the award of the CCT or CESR (CP).

##### **Supervised learning events (SLEs)**

Trainees will be expected to undertake SLEs throughout their training in diagnostic neuropathology. In general, SLEs are designed to be formative in nature; as such they are best suited to determining educational progress in different contexts. To this end, it is strongly recommended that SLEs be carried out regularly throughout training to assess and

document a trainee's progress. However, a minimum number of SLEs should be completed during each stage of training.

These will include:

- case-based discussion (CbD)
- direct observation of practical skills (DOPS)
- evaluation of clinical events (ECE)
- multi-source feedback (MSF)
- assessment of performance in the workplace (AOP).

Specific guidance for each stage and the optional packages of training is provided in appendix E.

Further separate guidance is provided about the method and required frequencies of these assessments.

### **FRCPATH examination**

The FRCPATH Part 1 examination is the first formal assessment of cellular pathology knowledge and must be passed before the trainee can start specialist training in diagnostic neuropathology.

The expectation for medical candidates in UK GMC-approved training programmes is that they should normally pass the FRCPATH Part 2 examination within seven years of passing the FRCPATH Part 1. However, there will be circumstances where the guidelines will need to be applied flexibly and candidates who feel that they will not be able to comply with this timescale should contact the RCPATH Examinations Department for further advice.

Examination results are evaluated after each session and an annual review of validity and reliability is undertaken and reported to the Examinations Committee.

## **8.6 Evidence of competence**

### **Annual review of competence progression**

The ARCP is an annual opportunity for evidence gathered by a trainee, relating to their progress in the training programme, to document the competencies that are being gained. Evidence of competence will be judged based on a portfolio of documentation, culminating in an educational supervisors' structured report.

Separate ARCP guidance is available on the College website. A copy of all ARCP forms issued to the trainee must be provided to the Royal College of Pathologists prior to recommendation for the award of the CCT. Lack of progress, identified by the issue of an ARCP outcome 3 or 5 and necessitating repeat training to rectify deficiencies, will lead to the extension of training. Training leading to the issue of an ARCP 3 or 5 and necessitating repeat training will not be recognised towards the award of the CCT. Evidence of ARCP outcome 6 is required as part of the evidence for the award of the CCT.

## **8.7 Decisions on progress**

The decisions made at critical progression points and upon completion of training should be clear and defensible. They must be fair and robust and make use of evidence from a range of assessments, potentially including exams and observations in practice or reflection on behaviour by those who have appropriate expertise or experience. They can also incorporate commentary or reports from longitudinal observations, such as from supervisors or formative assessments demonstrating progress over time.

Periodic (at least annual) review should be used to collate and systematically review evidence about a doctor's performance and progress in a holistic way and make decisions about their progression in training. The ARCP process supports the collation and integration of evidence to make decisions about the achievement of expected outcomes.

Assessment of CiPs involves looking across a range of different skills and behaviours to make global decisions about a learner's suitability to take on particular responsibilities or tasks, as do decisions about the satisfactory completion of presentations/conditions and procedural skills set out in this curriculum. Table 4 in section 8.3 sets out the level of supervision expected for each of the specialty CiPs. The requirements for each year of training are set out in the ARCP decision aid.

The ARCP process is described in The Gold Guide. LETBs/deaneries are responsible for organising and conducting ARCPs. The evidence to be reviewed by ARCP panels should be collected in the LEPT system.

In order to guide trainees, supervisors and the ARCP panel, the College has produced an ARCP decision aid, which sets out the requirements for a satisfactory ARCP outcome at the end of each training year and at each critical progression point. The ARCP decision aid is available on the College website.

### **8.8 Assessment blueprint**

Appendix D shows the possible methods of assessment for each CiP. It is not expected that every method will be used for each competency and additional evidence may be used to help make a judgement on capability.

### **8.9 Supervision and feedback**

Specialty training must be appropriately delivered by the senior medical and scientific staff on a day-to-day basis under the direction of a designated educational supervisor and a Specialty Training Committee that links to the appropriate postgraduate deanery.

Educational supervision is a fundamental method for delivering teaching and training in the NHS. It takes advantage of the experience, knowledge and skills of educational supervisors/trainers and their familiarity with clinical situations. It ensures interaction between an experienced clinician and a doctor in training. This is the desired link between the past and the future of medical practice, to guide and steer the learning process of the trainee. Clinical supervision is also vital to ensure patient safety and the high-quality service of doctors in training.

The College expects all doctors reaching the end of their training to demonstrate competence in clinical supervision before the award of the CCT. The College also acknowledges that the process of gaining competence in supervision starts at an early stage in training, with foundation doctors supervising medical students and specialty registrars supervising more junior trainees. The example provided by the educational supervisor is the most powerful influence upon the standards of conduct and practice of a trainee.

The role of the educational supervisor is to:

- have overall educational and supervisory responsibility for the trainee in a given post
- ensure that the trainee is familiar with the curriculum relevant to the year/stage of training of the post
- ensure that the trainee has day-to-day supervision appropriate to their stage of training
- ensure that the trainee is making the necessary clinical and educational progress during the post

- ensure that the trainee is aware of the assessment system and undertakes it according to requirements
- act as a mentor to the trainee and help with both professional and personal development
- agree a training plan (formal educational contract) with the trainee and ensure that an induction (where appropriate) has been carried out soon after the trainee's appointment
- discuss the trainee's progress with each trainer with whom a trainee spends a period of training
- undertake regular formative/supportive appraisals with the trainee (two per year, approximately every six months) and ensure that both parties agree to the outcome of these sessions and keep a written record
- regularly inspect the trainee's training record, inform trainees of their progress and encourage trainees to discuss any deficiencies in the training programme, ensuring that records of such discussions are kept
- keep the STC Chair informed of any significant problems that may affect the individual's training.

In order to become an educational supervisor, a consultant must have a demonstrated interest in teaching and training, have appropriate access to teaching resources, be involved in and liaise with the appropriate regional training committees, and be involved in annual reviews and liaise closely with the TPD. The deaneries organise extensive training programmes for the development of educational supervisors. Educational supervisors must keep up to date with developments in postgraduate medical training (e.g. by attending deanery and national training the trainer courses), have access to the support and advice of their senior colleagues regarding any issues related to teaching and training, and keep up to date with their own professional development.

## **9. Curriculum review and updating**

The curriculum will be evaluated and monitored by the Royal College of Pathologists as part of continuous feedback from STCs, TPDs, trainers and trainees.

The curriculum will be formally reviewed in the first instance by the Cellular Pathology Curriculum Working Group within two years of publication. In reviewing the curriculum, opinions will be sought from the College's Neuropathology SAC, the Trainees Advisory Committee, the Lay Governance Group and its Fellows and Registered Trainees.

Any significant changes to the curriculum will need the approval of the Royal College of Pathologists' Council and the GMC.

## **10. Transitional arrangements**

With the exception of trainees in the final year of training prior to the award of the CCT, all neuropathology trainees will transfer to this curriculum.

Trainees in the final year of training will remain on their current curriculum. Such trainees would normally be expected to have already achieved FRCPath Part 2 by examination.

## **11. Acknowledgments**

Professor Nicki Cohen (Clinical Director of Training and Assessment), Dr Clair Evans (Chair Cellular Pathology College Specialty Training Committee, Chair Cellular Pathology Curriculum Working Group, Curriculum Lead Specialty Advisory Committee Pre/Perinatal/Paediatric Pathology), Dr Vipul Foria (Consultant Histopathologist, Cellular Pathology Curriculum Working Group), Dr Monika Hofer (Consultant Neuropathologist, Cellular Pathology Curriculum Working Group, Education Lead Specialty Advisory

Committee Neuropathology), Dr Nigel Cooper (Consultant Forensic Pathologist, Chair Specialty Advisory Committee Forensic Pathology, Cellular Pathology Curriculum Working Group), Dr Catherine Horsfield (Consultant Histopathologist, Cellular Pathology Curriculum Working Group), Dr Nick West (Consultant Histopathologist and Molecular Pathologist), Dr Stephen Dahill (Consultant Histopathologist), Professor Peter Johnston (Consultant Histopathologist, Vice President RCPATH), Dr Daniel Brierley (Consultant Oral Pathology), Dr Martin Young (Consultant Histopathologist and Cytopathologist), Dr Sanjiv Manek (Consultant Histopathologist, Director of Examinations), Joanne Brinklow (Director of Learning RCPATH), Sandra Dewar-Creighton (Assessment Manager RCPATH), Jenny Maginley (Training Manager RCPATH) and Laura Mauro (Training Officer RCPATH).

Input from members of the RCPATH diagnostic neuropathology SAC Committee and the Professional Affairs Committee of the British Neuropathological Society including volunteers for specialist areas with special mention of Professor Tim Dawson, Professor Stephen Wharton, Dr Robin Highly, Professor Colin Smith, Professor Sebastian Brandner, Professor Tom Jacques, Dr Zane Jaunmuktane and Dr Nitika Rathi. Acknowledgements also to all those who are not specifically mentioned but have contributed in whatever form, e.g. as part of the consultation process."

## Appendix A: Learning map for integrated cellular pathology training (ICPT)

### Generic CiPs

CiP 1: Able to function effectively within healthcare and other organisational and management systems to deliver consistent high-quality patient care.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:				
	Awareness of and adherence to GMC professional requirements	Recognition of public health issues including population health, social determinants of health, and global health perspectives	Promotion of an open and transparent culture	Engagement in career planning	Ability to deal with complexity and uncertainty
1–12	Practice follows GMC guidance Prepares training portfolio in a timely manner for ARCP	Aware of basic local, national and international population health demographics and national public health issues	Shares information freely and appropriately Engages in peer learning with emphasis on shared learning from mistakes	Understands the remit of the four cellular pathology specialties and what being a good pathologist entails Is positive about career choice Plans timing of exams	Recognises own limitations in practice Seeks advice and help Understands how pathology reports are worded in varied contexts
13–24	Adheres to GMC professional requirements	Can put general public health issues into a wider context based on up-to-date information and apply to clinical practice	Learns reflectively from own mistakes and those of others Takes an open approach regarding reporting errors	Engages with training opportunities Explores small specialty training opportunities Takes appropriate advice around sitting Part I FRCPATH	Anticipates when a straightforward pathological diagnosis may not be appropriate Can seek an external opinion
25–30	Can reflect on and discuss professional requirements	Appraises relevant individual and public health using a range of available data	Promotes a positive, open and honest working environment	Begins to plan for further exams and to explore specialist practice Develops and plans SMART audit, research and education experience commensurate with interest	Takes a structured approach to assessing complex cases and writing reports to convey complexity, appropriate uncertainty and clinicopathological correlation

CiP 2: Able to work within ethical and legal frameworks across all aspects of clinical practice.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:			
	Awareness of national legislation and legal responsibilities, including safeguarding vulnerable groups	Behaviour in accordance with ethical and legal requirements	Ability to offer an apology or explanation	Ability to advise clinicians and other health professionals on medico-legal issues, cognisant of national variations in practice
1–12	Engages with departmental induction and completes local statutory and mandatory training schedules	Adheres to local and national ethical guidance and equality and diversity legislation	Is open and honest about gaps in knowledge and clinical practice	Aware of medico-legal issues related to pathology Seeks advice from seniors
13–24	Signposts and retrieves national/devolved legislation and legal responsibilities according to clinical or academic setting	Adheres to ethical and legal requirements in a proactive fashion and seeks out advice as required	Offers an apology or explanation when appropriate Is aware of the local NHS trust/health board policies for complaints Is aware of the role of medical indemnity	Can provide advice for most everyday scenarios and knows when to seek help Supports peers and junior trainees in giving advice in a range of contexts
25–30	Practises in accordance with national and devolved legal frameworks with respect to human tissue and post-mortems, consent, confidentiality and safeguarding of vulnerable groups	Can apply ethical and legal requirements to general and more specific scenarios	Supports and encourages junior trainees to be honest about mistakes and proactively offer an explanation or apology	Can provide appropriate advice in more complex situations with supervision (e.g. relating to the use of human tissue, coroners and procurator fiscal services)

CiP 3: Communicates effectively and is able to share decision making, while maintaining appropriate situational awareness, professional behaviours and judgement.

<b>Time (FTE months of training)</b>	<b>Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:</b>			
	<b>Communication with patients, next of kin, colleagues and members of the multidisciplinary team as appropriate</b>	<b>Management of barriers to communication (e.g. cognitive impairment, speech and hearing problems, capacity issues, cultural issues)</b>	<b>Verbal and nonverbal consultation skills</b>	<b>Management and teamworking skills including influencing, negotiating, re-assessing priorities and complex, dynamic situations</b>
<b>1–12</b>	Reflects on cases from MDTs, CPCs and patient or next of kin meetings Can discuss cases with peers and supervising consultant Can hand over cases with guidance from supervising consultant	Is aware of potential communication barriers between specialties and within diagnostic service Considers strategies to manage them	Communicates effectively with colleagues Observes consultation styles (verbal and non-verbal) in a variety of settings	Understands what effective management and teamworking skills look like in cellular-pathology-related specialties
<b>13–24</b>	Discusses and presents cases at MDT or CPC and reflects on the outcomes Attends patient or next of kin meetings as appropriate Can discuss and give clear handover of cases	Proactively identifies and manages barriers of communication	Develops own style of consulting bearing verbal and nonverbal factors in mind by using reflective practice strategies	Contributes to management and teamworking by continuing to develop skills including influencing, negotiating, re-assessing priorities and managing complex dynamic situations
<b>25–30</b>	Supports and encourages junior trainees in their endeavour to communicate effectively and in getting to know the multidisciplinary team	Supports others with recognising and managing barriers of communication	Actively seeks feedback on consultation style and continues to improve in response to feedback	Builds on knowledge and skills acquired Is able to reflect on experience and focus on personal development

CiP 4: Maintains patient safety at the forefront of clinical working. Can utilise quality improvement activity realistically within the constraints of the role.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:				
	Behaviour relating to patient safety and quality of care	Contribution and delivery of quality improvement	Human factors principles and practice at individual, team, organisational and system levels	Non-technical skills and crisis resource management	Working within limit of personal competence
1–12	Understands local pathways for incident reporting and risk management Understands patient safety and fitness-to-practice guidance	Observes how patient safety investigations and complaints are managed in pathology Understands quality assurance and improvement principles Is aware of national audits	Understands human factors in healthcare in terms of the interactions of individuals, the task and the workplace	Understands the different roles of those employed in the laboratory and wider (and non-) NHS environment	Understand the limits of own competence from the beginning of ICPT training
13–24	Has a comfortable routine approach to raising patient safety or quality issues respectfully and constructively	Contributes to audits and individual quality improvement activities as part of the team	Uses insights from human factors principles to inform daily practice	Develops own repertoire of non-technical skills and starts to offer solutions for management. Takes time to learn policy regarding critical and/or major incidents	Develops consistent and appropriate threshold for asking for help when unsure
25–30	Can support colleagues in raising and escalating patient safety or quality of care issues	Encourages and supports colleagues with quality improvement activities	Is an ambassador for considering human factors in clinical practice with colleagues	Encourages and supports colleagues in contributing to resource management and using non-technical skills to optimise time and resources	Encourages colleagues to ask for help when required and is approachable within the personal limits of their competence

CiP 5: Able to contribute to and support research.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:			
	Principles of research and academic writing	Ability to follow guidelines relating to legal and ethical frameworks in the UK	Support of health service research of others, including exploring funding opportunities	Critical appraisal of the literature
1–12	Appreciates differences between audit, service review and research Can use digital resources to find suitable literature for diagnostic interpretation or targeted research questions	Understands the legal and ethical framework for research in UK pathology	Understands how health service research is structured and supported within the UK Explores available financial sources	Understands the basic principles of critical appraisal
13–24	Discusses and appraises relevant primary literature and reviews with colleagues	Can operate within the legal and ethical framework underlying research in everyday practice	Understands existing structures which support and facilitate health service research Gains an understanding of how research projects within the department are financed	Can critically review literature at a basic level to support diagnostic work
25–30	Demonstrates ability to write academic/research accounts appropriately	Identifies legal and ethical principles when planning/contributing to or advising on a research study	Can support colleagues in using the research frameworks when actively involved in research activities Can discuss and signpost to appropriate funding sources	Consults primary literature and reviews in the process of gaining knowledge and skills to further diagnostic ability

CiP 6: Behaves as an educator in the context of the role and promotes educational culture.

<b>Time (FTE months of training)</b>	<b>Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:</b>	
	<b>Teaching, training and supervision of peers, medical students, junior doctors, laboratory staff and others</b>	<b>Effective feedback to colleagues</b>
<b>1–12</b>	Engages in departmental teaching and training opportunities including peer learning and observes a variety of teaching styles and settings	Observes how feedback is provided in a variety of settings, identifies what good feedback is Provides effective written feedback on teaching sessions
<b>13–24</b>	Develops own personal teaching style in a variety of different contexts	Develops own style for giving feedback in a variety of settings based on general principles from the literature and learning from past experience
<b>25–30</b>	Fine-tunes teaching and training skills, using educational literature and training opportunities	Can reflect and act upon constructive feedback Can reflect upon teaching and learning episodes Can tailor feedback in a variety of contexts

CiP 7: Able to self-appraise, learn and adapt.

<b>Time (FTE months of training)</b>	<b>Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:</b>		
<b>Time (FTE months of training)</b>	<b>Reflective learning strategies to aid learning and improve performance</b>	<b>Application of knowledge to adapt to new clinical situations</b>	<b>Effective working with different teams, departments, professional groups and external agencies</b>
<b>1–12</b>	Grows and reflects upon pathology-related knowledge and understanding, given patient-facing expertise developed in foundation training	Applies pathology learning to basic cases and preparation of reports in relation to the clinical context	Engages with and contributes to teamwork
<b>13–24</b>	Consolidates a structured personal approach, allowing time for regular reflection to improve personal performance	Applies and adapts knowledge routinely in the work-up of a wide range of routine cases Can identify when clinical data is incomplete and when it should be sought	Routinely works with a range of different teams within and without the department, in a range of contexts
<b>25–30</b>	Applies guidance from national organisations to improve reflection and work constructively with others	Demonstrates deeper knowledge and understanding in less standard clinical scenarios	Demonstrates progression of skills relating to teamwork with a variety of colleagues

### Specialty CiPs

CiP 8: Able to demonstrate leadership and management within the laboratory setting for the benefit of patient care.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:				
	Understanding of the structure, resources and legislation surrounding laboratory practice	Awareness of scientific and managerial developments that may affect the organisation and delivery of pathology services	Writing a business case and draw upon the expertise and opinions of others in this process	Understanding of method validation	Using internal quality control and external quality assurance to maintain and enhance quality
1–12	Demonstrates and explains basic understanding of histopathology laboratory structure and function	Understands their local laboratory setting in the context of national developments affecting delivery of pathology services	-	Demonstrates awareness of key principles of method validation (as per United Kingdom Accreditation Service requirements)	Demonstrates basic understanding of internal quality control and external quality assurance (EQA) mechanisms and relevant schemes
13–24	Understands legislation and international standards pertaining to the everyday function of cellular pathology laboratories	Understands wider health service strategic development relevant to cellular pathology specialties	Knows what a business case is, and its main purpose and basic structure	Explains how methods are routinely validated in the local laboratory and points to the appropriate local guidance	Uses internal quality control systems Reviews EQA circulations within the department and learns from EQA discussion meetings
25–30	Explains how individual healthcare laboratories operate within different hospital management structures	Keeps up to date with developments as they arise and anticipates what effect they may have on the organisation and delivery of pathology services	Has read and critically appraised a range of business cases in the department	Participates in the validation and verification of routine methods following the local laboratory protocol	Can use a structured approach to identify quality control issues in the laboratory setting

CiP 9: Able to use laboratory and other services effectively in the investigation, diagnosis, and management of patients, relatives, and the deceased.

Time (FTE months of training)	<b>Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:</b>			
	<b>Understanding of healthcare IT and laboratory information management systems and other healthcare IT systems, including associated legislation</b>	<b>Communication with specialty services</b>	<b>Interpretation of reports from related clinical disciplines in the light of pathology findings, mindful of associated pitfalls</b>	<b>Reasoning behind investigational and diagnostic advice given to clinicians, laboratory staff, legal professionals and laypeople</b>
<b>1–12</b>	Basic understanding of laboratory information management systems, and how they link with wider IT and associated governance	Has a good basic understanding of the specialty services which work closely with cellular pathology and the range of investigations offered	Routinely reads reports from related clinical disciplines to make sense of their cases, and reflects on them in light of pathology results	Observes how investigational and diagnostic advice and explanation is given to clinicians and laboratory staff in a number of settings
<b>13–24</b>	Uses laboratory information management system in routine practice, mindful of information governance and legal requirements	Routinely requests appropriate investigations from other specialty services as part of daily practice and the work-up of routine cases	Explains findings of reports from related clinical disciplines and their relevance for a range of routine pathology cases	Has own style of routinely explaining the underlying reasons behind investigations to laboratory staff Provides clear reasons for investigational/diagnostic advice to clinicians as required
<b>25–30</b>	Can compare and contrast different systems and is able to discuss individual strengths and weaknesses	Can explore specialist testing for non-routine cases and get required tests organised	Can explore reports from related clinical disciplines in relation to the pathology observed in complex cases and formulate an integrated diagnostic opinion	Routinely discusses reasons behind investigations and diagnostic advice with clinicians as part of presenting the pathology at MDT meetings

CiP 10: Able to manage and contribute to a multidisciplinary team effectively.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:				
	Management and teamworking skills to effectively manage complex, dynamic situations	Continuity and coordination of patient care through the appropriate transfer of information	Timely and accurate sharing of information with the clinical team responsible for the care of the patient	Working with outside agencies	Integration of clinical and pathological findings to advise an MDT and provide prognostic information
1–12	Observes effective management and teamworking skills in cellular-pathology-related settings	Diligently includes all relevant information during basic reporting including clinical information Understands the focus on tissue interpretation Chases up reports as requested	Observes situations in pathology when prompt and accurate information sharing with the clinical team is very important for patient management	Demonstrates basic awareness of outside agencies and their main roles in relation to pathology	Demonstrates awareness of the basic principles of integrating the results with all other relevant information in order provide advice and appropriate prognostic information at MDT
13–24	Contributes to management and teamworking by improving communication skills	Responds to requests for pathology information in a timely manner and chases up outstanding tests	Understands a range of situations where proactive, prompt and accurate information sharing with the clinical team has a direct impact on patient care	Can explain the main roles of well-known outside organisations in relation to pathology	Can integrate results for straightforward cases in order to advise an MDT and provide appropriate prognostic information
25–30	Supports colleagues in developing and demonstrating effective management and teamworking skills	Identifies potential gaps in information transfer and helps to remedy them by working closely with the clinical and laboratory teams	Routinely identifies situations where prompt and accurate information sharing is important and volunteers to carry this out	Interacts effectively with outside organisations	Can integrate results for a range of common routine cases with a view to providing advice and appropriate prognostic information at MDT

CiP 11: Able to take, manage and interpret pathological specimens accurately and safely, mindful of risks to self and others. (a)

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises skills to provide accurate diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic detail, as appropriate:				
	Management of a macroscopic specimen	Microscopy skills (including additional techniques)	Performing a post-mortem examination	Interpreting all macroscopic and microscopic findings identified from the post-mortem	Portraying an appropriate amount of certainty around a pathological diagnosis
1–12	Has a safe, structured approach to surgical cut-up: Can identify and describe anatomy, relevant features and sample so appropriate detail can be extracted after full microscopy	Can identify key microscopic features and use to categorise disease processes in a structured manner Is comfortable and proficient undertaking microscopy Can request basic additional techniques	Undertakes a basic structured post-mortem exam safely and tidily, mindful of infection and sharps risk to self and others Recognises basic macroscopic findings that relate to a clinical history	Integrates macroscopic and microscopic findings and provides a basic opinion on underlying disease processes and their likely clinical significance Can interpret basic additional tests accurately	Observes senior colleagues presenting information with special emphasis on the expression of levels of certainty at MDTs
13–24	Extends the approach to cover common specimens submitted and modify according to best practice guidelines	Can extend this approach to cover a wide range of routine cases Can order additional investigations including molecular tests	Distinguishes between normal and abnormal in whole organ specimens and integrates with clinical information Anticipates potential findings based on history Understands the role of medical examiners in death certification	Can systematically summarise macroscopic and microscopic findings and integrate them with additional results including molecular tests to provide a more detailed diagnostic opinion in the context of the clinical history	Routinely attempts to gauge level of diagnostic certainty when working up cases
25–30	Can assess, interpret and sample more complex resection specimens using a structured approach	Adopts structured logical approach to the assessment of more complex cases Can order and explain basic methodology of all tests within NHS England National Genomic Test Directory	Interprets and questions findings in the appropriate context Understands when to ask for further information before starting Works with medical examiners of the cause of death, as appropriate	Places relevant emphasis on significant and incidental findings Interprets findings from a prognostic and diagnostic perspective and recognises pitfalls of additional tests such as those within NHS England	Routinely presents pathology at MDT discussions and practises providing appropriate levels of certainty

				National Genomic Test Directory	
--	--	--	--	---------------------------------	--

CiP 11: Able to take, manage and interpret pathological specimens accurately and safely, mindful of risks to self and others. (b)

Time (FTE months of training)	<b>Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises skills to provide accurate diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic detail, as appropriate:</b>				
	<b>Providing a timely accurate written or verbal report in clear and appropriate language</b>	<b>Using appropriate published guidelines and diagnostic coding</b>	<b>Providing a provisional verbal report urgently and documenting appropriately</b>	<b>Counselling next of kin and peer health professionals on the outcomes of pathology investigations</b>	<b>Can report independently</b>
<b>1–12</b>	Can compose an accurate and complete surgical pathology report using best practice standards on common cases	Understands main published guidelines and diagnostic coding for routine pathology cases Can access and retrieve relevant further information quickly	Observes consultant colleagues providing verbal provisional reports urgently in a number of settings and recording appropriately Behaves accordingly and recognises the importance of urgent reporting	Observes senior colleagues counselling health professionals and patients as appropriate on the outcomes of pathology investigations	Has a basic structured logical approach to assessing macroscopic and microscopic findings Tries to reach independent conclusions prior to showing cases to consultant
<b>13–24</b>	Can routinely compose accurate and complete reports on a range of routine cellular pathology specimens containing all the required information using best practice standards	Routinely uses and seeks out appropriate guidelines when working up cases Routinely uses the appropriate diagnostic coding as per local guidelines	Can provide a provisional verbal report urgently for straightforward cases and can accurately document it	Has a basic approach towards counselling health professionals on the outcomes of pathology investigations for straightforward cases	Can start to independently report low complexity specimens (with appropriate local support) Routinely writes structured report with conclusions prior to showing case to consultant
<b>25–30</b>	Writes accurate understandable reports reflecting the appropriate level of complexity and giving balanced conclusions and advice	Can retrieve guidelines appropriate for rarer cases and apply appropriate diagnostic coding	Can provide a provisional verbal report urgently for a range of cases, with appropriate documentation	Routinely counsels health professionals on the outcome of pathology investigations	Continues to work-up cases independently in preparation for extending independent reporting

## Appendix B: Diagnostic neuropathology higher specialty training learning map

### Generic CiPs

CiP 1: Able to function effectively within healthcare and other organisational and management systems to deliver consistent high-quality patient care.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:				
	Awareness of and adherence to GMC professional requirements	Recognition of public health issues including population health, social determinants of health, and global health perspectives	Promotion of an open and transparent culture	Engagement in career planning	Ability to deal with complexity and uncertainty
31–36	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context	Understands the application of ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology and clinical neurosciences context	Promotes and develops a positive, open and honest working environment with new neuropathology colleagues	Gains in-depth knowledge and appreciation of what it is like to work as a consultant neuropathologist	Applies previous practice to neuropathology Appreciates the role of intraoperative diagnosis and the importance of dealing with levels of certainty
37–48	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year	Shares information and learning across related specialties, taking a multidisciplinary perspective	Gains wider exposure in specialised areas of neuropathology practice	Uses a structured approach to complex cases and intraoperative reporting Conveys appropriate levels of certainty, mindful of the context and potential impact on patient care
49–60	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year	Can retrieve and apply detailed knowledge of local, national and international factors	Contributes to learning within neuropathology and related fields Continues to reflect and learn from mistakes of self and others	Reviews the range of employment opportunities and clarifies aims for future role including clinical/academic split. Plans and sits Part 2 FRCPATH as appropriate	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year
61–72	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year	Attends directorate governance meetings. Appreciates the context of	Takes concrete steps towards securing a job	Manages complex/uncertain situations with calm

			diagnostic specialties and service provision		confidence and structure Uses patient safety as a guiding principle
--	--	--	--	--	--

CiP 2: Able to work within ethical and legal frameworks across all aspects of clinical practice.

Time (FTE months of training)	<b>Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:</b>			
	<b>Awareness of national legislation and legal responsibilities, including safeguarding vulnerable groups</b>	<b>Behaviour in accordance with ethical and legal requirements</b>	<b>Ability to offer an apology or explanation</b>	<b>Ability to advise clinicians and other health professionals on medico-legal issues, cognisant of national variations in practice</b>
<b>31–36</b>	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context	Develops increased awareness of these requirements in a neuropathology context	Continues to be open and transparent	Applies the skills learnt in ICP to a neuropathology context
<b>37–48</b>	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year	Applies legal and ethical framework to most scenarios within neuropathology and knows where and how to seek help and advice	Demonstrates more advanced reflective and adaptive practice, taking account for previous errors	Routinely advises clinicians and health professionals on common medico-legal issues related to neuropathology
<b>49–60</b>	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year	Contributes to discussions and preparation of departmental documents with respect to legal and ethical requirements	Can explain actions and rationale in complex scenarios where there may not necessarily be a right or wrong answer, and is willing to take ownership/responsibility	Can engage with more complex scenarios in which medico-legal issues require a degree of interpretation and for which there may be accepted national variations in practice
<b>61–72</b>	Can apply the national legal framework in a wider clinical neuroscience-relevant context and make balanced decisions	Can provide detailed advice to service users on legal and ethical requirements, working to achieve common goals	Has developed a relationship with clinical neuroscience users, based on mutual respect and transparency regarding errors	Routinely advises colleagues on medico-legal issues, acknowledging variations of practice and practice in more complex scenarios

CiP 3: Communicates effectively and is able to share decision making, while maintaining appropriate situational awareness, professional behaviours and judgement.

<b>Time (FTE months of training)</b>	<b>Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:</b>			
	<b>Communication with patients, next of kin, colleagues and members of the multidisciplinary team as appropriate</b>	<b>Management of barriers to communication (e.g. cognitive impairment, speech and hearing problems, capacity issues, cultural issues)</b>	<b>Verbal and nonverbal consultation skills</b>	<b>Management and teamworking skills including influencing, negotiating, re-assessing priorities, and complex, dynamic situations</b>
<b>31–36</b>	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context	Continues to identify barriers of communication and manages them appropriately	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context
<b>37–48</b>	Routinely interacts with service users as the opportunity arises Routinely leads and presents the pathology contribution to MDT meetings and keeps the MDT up to date on new developments relating to cases	Considers proactively whether barriers of communication might be an issue	Continues to seek feedback on consulting style with a view to improvement Is able to provide effective feedback to others	Routinely contributes to management and teamworking by using influencing and negotiating skills and remaining flexible in the context of complex dynamic situations
<b>49–60</b>	Liaises with service users to gather feedback and as part of quality improvement projects and new service developments Engages with UKAS user survey if opportunity arises	Considers potential barriers of communication when undertaking service improvement	Challenges themselves to fine-tune consultation skills in more difficult/challenging scenarios	Takes responsibility/ownership with tailored 'light touch' supervision for effectively managing complex situation in the context of teamworking
<b>61–72</b>	Engages with and supports colleagues in communicating with patients, relatives and other organisations	Works with colleagues in related disciplines to address potential barriers holistically and as a team, whenever needed	Behaves in a way that bridges difficult communication gaps by using empathy as a guiding principle	Can manage complex dynamic situations as part of a clinical neurosciences team, based on mutual respect for and understanding of each other's areas of expertise

CiP 4: Maintains patient safety at the forefront of clinical working. Can utilise quality improvement activity realistically within the constraints of the role.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:				
	Behaviour relating to patient safety and quality of care	Contribution and delivery of quality improvement	Human factors principles and practice at individual, team, organisational and system levels	Non-technical skills and crisis resource management	Working within limit of personal competence
31–36	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context in their new department	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context in their new department	Shows open and modest approach to daily practice
37–48	Routinely raises/escalates concerns relating to patient safety and quality of care by following local guidelines in a respectful and constructive manner	Takes ownership/responsibility of a quality improvement activity in the department by engaging and working with the rest of the team	Effectively modifies approach to resolving issues and completing complex tasks based on the observed human factors principles	Demonstrates routine use of non-technical skills to optimise time and other resources during daily practice	Carefully gauges personal competence as they progress with training and maintains a low threshold for seeking senior advice
49–60	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year	Critically appraises the outcome of quality improvement activities with a view to ongoing improvement Can prioritise these activities across the department	Can contribute and advise senior colleagues and the department on human factors, as requirements arise	Actively contributes to resource management at departmental level	Continues to develop and maintain awareness of personal competence and limitations particularly when approaching very complex scenarios and when under time pressure
61–72	Contributes to patient safety/quality of care discussions within the wider context of the clinical neurosciences	Contributes to quality improvement activities in partnership with colleagues from the clinical neurosciences	Identifies human factors within the wider clinical neurosciences context	Maximises non-technical skills to cope with increasing demands in transition period to consultant appointment	Maintains ability to reflect and pause to appraise Appreciates when and whether help may be needed

CiP 5: Able to contribute to and support research.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:			
	Principles of research and academic writing	Ability to follow guidelines relating to legal and ethical frameworks in the UK	Support of health service research of others, including exploring funding opportunities	Critical appraisal of the literature
31–36	Consults primary literature and review articles in the process of developing neuropathology repertoire	Can apply legal and ethical framework to routine brain bank donations and brain bank requests	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context in their new department	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context
37–48	Engages with joint opportunities to review relevant publications	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year	Is able to advise colleagues on how neuropathology can enhance their research Builds knowledge and understanding of national landscape for funding research	Can critically appraise literature in a specific subject area and present the findings coherently
49–60	Contributes to primary research/case reports depending on local opportunities	Contributes to or leads discussions on legal and ethical research aspects	Contributes to or leads health research funding applications	Contributes to local departmental, national or international consultations/draft guidelines for a range of subjects
61–72	Can critically appraise primary research findings presented at meetings, and engages in academic writing	Widens their input on this area to the clinical neurosciences community	Contributes to multidisciplinary research activity	Can critically appraise the literature in a wider clinical neuroscience context

CiP 6: Behaves as an educator in the context of the role and promotes educational culture.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:	
	Teaching, training and supervision to peers, medical students, junior doctors, laboratory staff and others	Effective feedback to colleagues
31–36	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context	Applies the skills learnt in ICP to neuropathology and continues to deliver and ask for effective feedback
37–48	Contributes to formal and informal teaching and training	Routinely reflects on feedback and uses it to enhance performance Can give effective feedback to junior trainees with action plans where appropriate
49–60	Can coordinate a variety of departmental teaching activities by planning appropriately and choosing the right format/style for a particular subject area/audience and setting	Can deliver and receive nuanced feedback relating to complex issues
61–72	Contributes to multidisciplinary neuroscience teaching and aligns neuropathology content with clinical content	Can deliver and act on feedback within the context of the wider neuroscience community

CiP 7: Able to self-appraise, learn and adapt.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:		
	Reflective learning strategies to aid learning and improve performance	Application of knowledge to adapt to new clinical situations	Effective working with different teams, departments, professional groups and external agencies
31–36	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context Reflects regularly, particularly after exposure to new areas of practice	Applies the skills learnt in ICP to neuropathology and gains a basic understanding of the typical clinical scenarios encountered most commonly and how new clinical information might come to light	Applies the skills learnt in ICP to neuropathology and adapts quickly and effectively to new roles in teams as they arise
37–48	Demonstrates reflective learning in a wide range of routine activities across clinical practice	Actively seeks relevant clinical information. Adapts knowledge as new findings emerge, mindful of conveying appropriate level of certainty	Takes on roles with increasing levels of responsibility within teams and adapts accordingly
49–60	Demonstrates reflective learning with particular focus on complex and difficult situations and cases Comfortable and experienced with personal approach to reflective life-long learning	Can apply and adapt knowledge to dynamic clinical situations and when under pressure	Routinely adapts work effectively with different teams in a leadership/managerial role
61–72	Reflects constructively and objectively on role, skills, knowledge and limitations within the neuroscience community. Improves performance through appraising the wider context	Considers and adapts to relevant clinical knowledge when advising on complex dynamic situations	Contributes to and works effectively within a wider neurosciences context

## Specialty CiPs

CiP 8: Able to demonstrate leadership and management within the laboratory setting for the benefit of patient care.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:				
	Understanding of the structure, resources and legislation surrounding laboratory practice	Awareness of scientific and managerial developments that may affect the organisation and delivery of Pathology services	Writing a business case and drawing upon the expertise and opinions of others in this process	Understanding of method validation	Using internal quality control and external quality assurance to maintain and enhance quality
31–36	Understands standard structure of neuropathology laboratories, and how regional variation and networks impact	Understanding recent developments in neuropathology and how they have affected organisation and delivery of services	Increases understanding of the types of business cases commonly prepared in neuropathology and contributes to their planning	Applies the skills learnt in ICP and demonstrates broad familiarity with validation methods in the laboratory	Effectively uses internal quality control and engages in external quality assurance schemes appropriate to the chosen specialty
37–48	Appraises and evaluates the current structure and context of an individual laboratory	Can summarise new developments in neuropathology to relevant stake holders and suggest how they might affect organisation and service delivery	Can write a well-structured model or real business case	Can explain the rationale behind individual steps of a method validation and critically appraise it referring to appropriate standards	Actively contributes to developing internal quality control checks within the laboratory based on analysis and discussion around past errors and comparisons with practice in other centres
49–60	Contributes to discussions/consultations around laboratory structure in an informed manner, mindful of the primary responsibility to deliver patient care	Actively participates in local departmental strategic planning, keeping stakeholders engaged	Actively contributes to departmental business cases through writing and/or discussion, planning and coordination with stakeholders	Can describe quality standards relating to method validation, and can apply the standards to an individual test in preparation for a quality inspection	Systematically appraises laboratory internal quality control and external quality assurance activities to identify areas for potential improvement
61–70	Considers structure, resources and legislation regarding laboratory practice, bearing in mind the context of neuropathology	Actively engages with clinical neurosciences colleagues to understand new developments, and contributes to the wider strategy	Writes business cases keeping the wider neuroscience/trust strategy and other relevant factors in mind	Explains and educates clinical service users on what method validation in pathology entails	Champions internal and external quality assurance activities within the directorate to foster understanding and support for these processes

CiP 9: Able to use laboratory and other services effectively in the investigation, diagnosis, and management of patients, relatives, and the deceased.

<b>Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:</b>				
<b>Time (FTE months of training)</b>	<b>Understanding of healthcare IT and laboratory information management systems and other healthcare IT systems, including associated legislation</b>	<b>Communication with specialty services</b>	<b>Interpretation of reports from related clinical disciplines in the light of pathology findings, mindful of associated pitfalls</b>	<b>Reasoning behind investigational and diagnostic advice given to clinicians, laboratory staff, legal professionals and laypeople</b>
<b>31–36</b>	Identifies issues with individual laboratory information management systems, mindful of legal requirements during routine practice	Demonstrates good working knowledge of clinical partners around neuropathology and the range of investigations/testing available	Demonstrates broad familiarity with reports from related specialties in light of the observed pathology	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context
<b>37–48</b>	Contributes to departmental discussions regarding potential improvements to an individual system	Can liaise effectively with other specialist services and request appropriate further investigations for common neuropathological cases	Routinely integrates findings from related specialties in light of the pathology observed	Routinely provides clear reasoned advice to colleagues as part of MDT discussions around cases and to laboratory staff
<b>49–60</b>	Anticipates and manages potential issues with the laboratory information management system in relation to a planned change of practice	Can explore very rare specialist tests or investigations required for complex, unusual and very rare scenarios and manage them appropriately	Able to integrate related findings in complex pathology cases	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year
<b>61–72</b>	Appreciates how laboratory information systems integrate with electronic patient records Can optimise and advise in this context	Considers specialist investigations and their contributions in the overall clinical neurosciences context	Has a structured logical approach to complex cases considering input from seniors, related specialties and the overall clinical context	Can provide useful advice to colleagues on complex cases bearing levels of uncertainty and the clinical context in mind

CiP 10: Able to manage and contribute to a multi-disciplinary team effectively.

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises appropriate:				
	Management and teamworking skills to effectively manage complex, dynamic situations	Continuity and coordination of patient care through the appropriate transfer of information	Timely and accurate sharing of information with the clinical team responsible for the care of the patient	Working with outside agencies	Integration of clinical and pathological findings to advise an MDT and provide prognostic information
31–36	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context	Responds to individual patient requests in a timely manner, liaising with laboratory team to honour requests accordingly	Has a good working knowledge of situations which require prompt and accurate information sharing for patient care	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context	Can integrate results for standard neuropathology cases, providing appropriate advice and prognostic information at MDT
37–48	Routinely contributes to teamworking using influencing and negotiating skills and remaining flexible in the context of complex dynamic situations	Routinely aids appropriate transfer of information guided by clinical context/clinical urgency and appropriate requests	Routinely identifies situations where prompt and accurate information sharing is important	Routinely works with outside organisations as requested	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year
48–60	Takes responsibility/ownership for effectively managing complex situation in the context of teamworking	Can identify system flaws which may impede effective and timely information transfer	Has a nuanced approach to information sharing in light of the clinical context	Can work with outside organisations on increasingly complex issues	Integrates results for complex, rare and challenging cases to provide balanced advice and prognostic information
61–72	Proficient at teamworking within the department and beyond	Routinely appraises patient pathways involving neuropathology Works to optimise these with other clinical neurosciences colleagues	Can optimise the local framework for information sharing together with colleagues from related specialties	Routinely works with outside organisations to achieve shared goals as required, within appropriate legal/ethical frameworks	Appraises and manages increasingly complex situations independently, integrating clinical and pathological findings

CiP 11: Able to take, manage and interpret pathological specimens accurately and safely, mindful of risks to self and others. (a)

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises skills to provide accurate diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic detail, as appropriate:				
	Management of a macroscopic specimen	Microscopy skills (including additional techniques)	Performing a post-mortem examination	Interpreting all macroscopic and microscopic findings identified from the post-mortem	Portraying an appropriate amount of certainty around a pathological diagnosis
31–36	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context paying new attention to imaging findings and common neuropathological conditions	Applies ICP-derived learning to a neuropathology context Emphasis placed on range of practice (surgical/post-mortem including neurodevelopmental, muscle/nerve and CSF cytology)	Applies ICP-derived post-mortem learning to a neuropathology context	Provides a structured detailed diagnostic opinion on more complex cases Emphasises consistencies and inconsistencies in a particular case	Transfers the approach to levels of certainty to neuropathology and fine-tunes approach to individual subject areas
37–48	Is able to cut-up majority of routine specimens Uses radiological imaging as a surrogate for or in addition to macroscopic observations of the sample provided	Routinely displays structured logical approach to the microscopic assessment and work-up of general and specialist specimens, routinely writes accurate and complete reports	Undertakes whole autopsies reliably, including evisceration, discussion with coroners, and writes up accordingly, discussing with seniors before sign-out Reflects on experiences at coroner's court (or equivalent)	Integrates macroscopic and microscopic findings for most routine autopsies with the clinical context to formulate a detailed clinicopathological opinion	Routinely reflects on level of certainty expressed in reports and seeks feedback
49–60	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year with cases that are more challenging to dissect	Adapts structured logical approach for assessment of complex cases	Can present post-mortem findings to wider clinical and medico-legal teams and the coroner or equivalent	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year for more complex cases	Expresses appropriate levels of certainty for the great majority of cases and situations
61–72	Routinely manages complex macroscopic specimens appropriately with increasing levels of	Routinely interprets complex cases appropriately, demonstrating increasing	Can work in the mortuary without supervision on a range of cases and can reliably sign out cases,	Is able to appropriately evaluate macroscopic and microscopic findings in the majority of settings and	Is able to influence the multidisciplinary team appropriately, is mindful of seeking help/senior advice

	independence	levels of confidence and independence	able to discuss according to need	increasingly independently, asking for help as required	as required
--	--------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---	-------------

CiP 11: Able to take, manage and interpret pathological specimens accurately and safely, mindful of risks to self and others. (b)

Time (FTE months of training)	Descriptor: Demonstrates or practises skills to provide accurate diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic detail, as appropriate:				
	Providing a timely accurate written or verbal report in clear and appropriate language	Using appropriate published guidelines and diagnostic coding	Providing a provisional verbal report urgently and documenting appropriately	Counselling next of kin and peer health professionals on the outcomes of pathology investigations	Can report independently
31–36	Composes clearly understandable reports for routine neuropathology specimens	Routinely identifies appropriate neuropathology guidelines and applies appropriate diagnostic coding	Able to routinely convey and appropriately document the result for straightforward intraoperative consultations in neuropathology as agreed with consultant	Applies the knowledge and skills gained from ICP to neuropathology with appropriate modifications/emphasis on content	Routinely works up cases and writes structured reports for routine cases in neuropathology in preparation for independent reporting if supported locally
37–48	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year on more complex cases	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year, with modifications if required for particular cases	Routinely conveys and appropriately documents the result of the majority of intraoperative consultations	Routinely counsels health professionals on the outcome of a wide range of pathology investigations	Starts independently reporting low complexity cases
49–60	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year on complex cases	Acknowledges possible deficiencies/areas of poor evidence in published guidelines and engages/comments on relevant draft guidelines under consultation	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year moving towards independent reporting for straightforward cases	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year on complex cases	Increases independent reporting repertoire and confidence
61–72	-	Can retrieve guidelines for rare cases and specific situations and knows when and where to look for help	Increasingly takes the lead for intraoperative reports and provisional verbal results	Able to counsel health care professionals and patients/parents independently	Demonstrates growth compared to previous year in preparation for consultant practice

### Appendix C: Diagnostic neuropathology entrustment levels

	FTE year of training	1	2	3	4	5	6
CiP	Descriptor	ICPT	ICPT	ICPT HST	HST	HST	HST
Able to demonstrate leadership and management within the laboratory setting for the benefit of patient care	Understanding of the structure, resources and legislation surrounding laboratory practice	1	2	3	3	4	4
	Awareness of scientific and managerial developments that may affect the organisation and delivery of pathology services	1	2	3	3	4	4
	Writing a business case and drawing upon the expertise and opinions of others in this process	1	1	2	3	4	4
	Understanding of method validation	1	1	2	3	4	4
	Using internal quality control and external quality assurance to maintain and enhance quality	1	2	3	3	4	4
Able to use laboratory and other services effectively in the investigation, diagnosis, and management of	Understanding of healthcare IT and laboratory information management systems and other healthcare IT systems, including associated legislation	1	2	3	3	4	4
	Communication with specialty services	1	2	3	3	3	4

patients, relatives, and the deceased	Interpretation of reports from related clinical disciplines in the light of pathology findings, mindful of associated pitfalls	1	2		2	3	3	4
	Reasoning behind investigational and diagnostic advice given to clinicians, laboratory staff, legal professionals and laypeople	1	2		2	3	3	4
Able to manage and contribute to a multidisciplinary team effectively	Management and teamworking skills to effectively manage complex, dynamic situations	1	2		2	3	4	4
	Continuity and coordination of patient care through the appropriate transfer of information	1	2		3	3	4	4
	Timely and accurate sharing of information with the clinical team responsible for the care of the patient	1	2		3	3	4	4
	Working with outside agencies	1	2		2	3	4	4
	Integration of clinical and pathological findings to advise an MDT and provide prognostic information	1	2		3	3	3	4
Able to take, manage and interpret pathological specimens accurately and safely, mindful of risks to self and others	Management of a macroscopic surgical specimen	1	2		3	3	4	4
	Microscopy skills (including additional techniques)	1	2		3	3	3	4
	Performing a post-mortem examination	1	2		2	3	3	4
	Interpreting all macroscopic and microscopic findings identified from the post-mortem	1	2		2	3	4	4

Portraying an appropriate amount of certainty around a pathological diagnosis	1	2		3	3	3	4
Providing a timely accurate written or verbal report in clear and appropriate language	1	2		2	3	3	4
Using appropriate published guidelines and diagnostic coding	1	2		3	3	4	4
Providing a provisional verbal report urgently and documenting appropriately	1	1		2	3	3	4
Counselling next of kin and peer health professionals on the outcomes of pathology investigations	1	2		2	3	3	4
Can report independently	1	1		2	3	3	4

## Appendix D: Diagnostic neuropathology assessment blueprint

CiP	Descriptor	Method of assessment						
		CBD	DOPs	ECE	MSF	AOP	FRCPATH Pt 1	FRCPATH Pt 2
Able to function effectively within healthcare and other organisational and management systems to deliver consistent high-quality patient care	Awareness of and adherence to GMC professional requirements						✓	✓
	Recognition of public health issues including population health, social determinants of health and global health perspectives	✓	✓				✓	✓
	Promotion of an open and transparent culture				✓			
	Engagement in career planning					✓		
	Ability to deal with complexity and uncertainty	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓
Able to work within ethical and legal frameworks across all aspects of clinical practice	Awareness of national legislation and legal responsibilities, including safeguarding vulnerable groups						✓	✓
	Behaviour in accordance with ethical and legal requirements		✓		✓			✓
	Ability to offer an apology or explanation	✓				✓		

	Ability to advise clinicians and other health professionals on medico-legal issues; cognisant of national variations in practice	✓				✓		✓
Communicates effectively and is able to share decision making, while maintaining appropriate situational awareness, professional behaviours and judgement	Communication with patients, next of kin, colleagues and members of the multidisciplinary team as appropriate				✓	✓		
	Management of barriers to communication (e.g. cognitive impairment, speech and hearing problems, capacity issues, cultural issues)				✓			
	Verbal and nonverbal consultation skills		✓		✓			✓
	Management and teamworking skills including influencing, negotiating, re-assessing priorities, and complex, dynamic situations				✓	✓		
Maintains patient safety at the forefront of clinical working. Can utilise quality improvement activity realistically within the constraints of the role	Behaviour relating to patient safety and quality of care		✓			✓		✓
	Contribution and delivery of quality improvement		✓			✓		
	Human factors principles and practice at individual, team, organisational and system levels	✓						
	Non-technical skills and crisis resource management				✓	✓		

	Working within limit of personal competence		✓			✓		
Able to contribute to and support research	Principles of research and academic writing	✓				✓		
	Ability to follow guidelines relating to legal and ethical frameworks in the UK	✓						✓
	Support of health service research	✓				✓		
	Awareness of sources of finance to support research	✓						
	Critical appraisal of the literature	✓	✓					✓
Behaves as an educator in the context of the role and promotes educational culture	Teaching, training and supervision to peers, medical students, junior doctors, laboratory staff and others		✓		✓	✓		
	Effective feedback to colleagues		✓			✓		
Able to self-appraise, learn and adapt	Reflective learning strategies to aid learning and improve performance	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓
	Application of knowledge to adapt to new clinical situations	✓					✓	✓
	Effective working with different teams, departments, professional groups and external agencies				✓	✓		

Able to demonstrate leadership and management within the laboratory setting for the benefit of patient care	Understanding of the structure, resources and legislation surrounding laboratory practice	✓	✓					
	Awareness of scientific and managerial developments that may affect the organisation and delivery of pathology services	✓	✓					
	Writing a business case and drawing upon the expertise and opinions of others in this process		✓					
	Understanding of method validation	✓						
	Using internal quality control and external quality assurance to maintain and enhance quality		✓					
Able to use laboratory and other services effectively in the investigation, diagnosis, and management of patients, relatives, and the deceased	Understanding of healthcare IT and laboratory information management systems and other healthcare IT systems, including associated legislation	✓				✓		
	Communication with specialty services		✓			✓		✓
	Interpretation of reports from related clinical disciplines in the light of pathology findings, mindful of associated pitfalls		✓					✓
	Reasoning behind investigational and diagnostic advice given to clinicians, laboratory staff, legal professionals and laypeople		✓			✓	✓	✓

Able to manage and contribute to a multidisciplinary team effectively	Management and teamworking skills to effectively manage complex, dynamic situations		✓		✓	✓		✓
	Continuity and coordination of patient care through the appropriate transfer of information		✓			✓		
	Timely and accurate sharing of information with the clinical team responsible for the care of the patient	✓	✓			✓		
	Working with outside agencies		✓	✓	✓			✓
	Integration of clinical and pathological findings to advise an MDT and provide prognostic information	✓				✓	✓	✓
Able to take, manage and interpret pathological specimens accurately and safely, mindful of risks to self and others	Management of a macroscopic surgical specimen		✓			✓		✓
	Microscopy skills (including additional techniques)		✓			✓	✓	✓
	Performing a post-mortem examination		✓			✓	✓	✓
	Interpreting all macroscopic and microscopic findings identified from the post-mortem		✓				✓	✓
	Portraying an appropriate amount of certainty around a pathological diagnosis	✓				✓	✓	✓
	Providing a timely accurate written or verbal report in clear and appropriate language		✓		✓		✓	✓

	Using appropriate published guidelines and diagnostic coding		✓			✓	✓	✓
	Providing a provisional verbal report urgently and documenting appropriately		✓			✓	✓	✓
	Counselling next of kin and peer health professionals on the outcomes of pathology investigations				✓	✓		✓
	Can report independently		✓			✓		✓

#### KEY

CbD	Case-based discussion
DOPS	Direct observation of practical skills
ECE	Evaluation of clinical/management events
MSF	Multi-source feedback
AOP	Assessment of performance in the workplace
IR	Independent reporting
FRCPATH	Fellowship examination of the Royal College of Pathologists

## **Appendix E: Directed supervised learning events by year of training**

The following are lists of supervised learning events (SLEs), from which appropriate examples should be selected to make up the 'directed' component of assessments during each stage of training. Each item in the lists is in fact a group of possible scenarios to be used, and each group may be used more than once as long as exact circumstances are not duplicated. Additionally, it can be seen that the lists are similar for each year, but increase in complexity and/or depth as a trainee progresses through the years of training.

### **ST1 (x24 per year or pro-rata)**

#### **Direct observation of practical skills (DOPS) (x6):**

- set up and use microscope
- autopsy
- cut-up
- microscopy
- cytology.

#### **Evaluation of clinical events (ECEs) (x6):**

- histology/cytology
- autopsy
- audit
- poster presentation
- teaching event for medical students or demonstration of interesting case to other trainees
- referral letter.

#### **Case-based discussions (CbDs) (x6):**

- autopsy
- histology/non-cervical cytology
- cytology
- molecular pathology.

#### **Assessment of performance (AoP) (x6)**

### **ST2 (x24 per year or pro-rata)**

#### **Direct observation of practical skills (DOPS) (x6):**

- autopsy
- cut-up
- microscopy
- cytology
- photography.

**Evaluation of clinical events (ECEs) (x6):**

- histology/cytology
- autopsy
- audit
- poster presentation
- teaching event for medical students or demonstration of interesting case to other trainees
- referral letter
- MDTs.

**Case-based discussions (CbDs) (x6):**

- autopsy
- histology/non-cervical cytology
- cytology
- molecular pathology.

**Assessment of performance (AoP) (x6)**

**ST3 (x24 per year or pro-rata)**

**Direct observation of practical skills (DOPS) (x6):**

- cut-up
- microscopy
- cytology
- photography.

**Evaluation of clinical events (ECEs) (x6):**

- histology/cytology
- audit
- poster presentation

- teaching event for medical students or other trainees
- referral letter
- MDTs.

**Case-based discussions (CbDs) (x6):**

- histology/non-cervical cytology
- management
- molecular pathology.

**Assessment of performance (AoP) (x6)**

**ST4 (x24 per year or pro-rata)**

**Direct observation of practical skills (DOPS) (x6):**

- cut-up
- microscopy
- cytology
- photography.

**Evaluation of clinical events (ECEs) (x6):**

- histology/cytology
- audit
- poster presentation
- teaching event for medical students or other trainees
- referral letter
- MDTs.

**Case-based discussions (CbDs) (x6):**

- histology/cytology
- management
- molecular pathology.

**Assessment of performance (AoP) (x6)**

**ST5 (x24 per year or pro-rata)**

**Evaluation of clinical events (ECEs) (x6):**

- audit
- poster or oral presentation
- teaching event for medical students or other trainees
- referral letter
- MDTs.

**Case-based discussions (CbDs) (x6):**

- histology/cytology
- management
- molecular pathology.

**Assessment of performance (AoP) (x6)**

**ST6 (x24 per year or pro-rata)**

**Evaluation of clinical events (ECEs) (x6):**

- audit
- poster or oral presentation
- teaching event for medical students or other trainees
- referral letter
- MDTs.

**Case-based discussions (CbDs) (x6)**

- histology
- management
- molecular pathology

**Direct observation of practical skills (DOPs) (x6):**

- histology/cytology
- management
- molecular pathology.

**Assessment of performance (AoP) (x6)**